



HARUN YAHYA (ADNAN OKTAR)

DARWINIST PROPAGANDA TECHNIQUES



Since science totally refutes evolution and since they possess not one single piece of scientific evidence, Darwinists decided to resort to hypnosis instead. They developed Darwinist propaganda techniques, involving part of the press, some professors, teachers and people occupying senior official positions. They had no hesitations about spreading false reports depicting evolution as a scientific fact right across the world. They eradicated objections to evolution through defamation, compulsion, imposition and menaces. They shamelessly misused science in the name of evolution, even though all branches of science have refuted it. They managed to bring the great majority of people to believe in evolution, the worst mass deception in history, by the use of false reports and hypnosis over the last 150 years.

But the days of that deception are now over! This book you are holding exposes all the false propaganda spread by Darwinists, who have suffered a terrible scientific rout, by way of the press in particular. Darwinists will no longer be able to mislead anyone with false press reports, false broadcasts and misleading illustrations and will no longer be able to impose this huge deception on anyone. The next generation will be amazed how people came to believe in this gigantic fraud and will be amazed to see how people were held spellbound by it.

By Allah's leave, the 21st century will be when people are completely freed from this terrible fraud and come to fully live by love of Allah, joy, friendship and moral virtue.



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Adnan Oktar, who writes under the pen-name Harun Yahya, was born in Ankara in 1956. Since the 1980s, the author has published many books on faith-related, scientific and political issues. He is well-known as the author of important works disclosing the imposture of evolutionists, their invalid claims, and the dark liaisons between Darwinism and such bloody ideologies as fascism and communism.

All of the author's works share one single goal: to convey the Qur'an's message, encourage readers to consider basic faith-related issues such as Allah's existence and unity and the Hereafter; and to expose irreligious systems' feeble foundations and perverted ideologies. His more than 300 works, translated into 72 different languages, enjoy a wide readership across the world.

By the will of Allah, the books of Harun Yahya will be a means through which people in the twenty-first century will attain the peace, justice, and happiness promised in the Qur'an.

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

اللَّهُ
رَسُولُ
مُحَمَّدٍ

TO THE READER

- A special chapter is assigned to the collapse of the theory of evolution because this theory constitutes the basis of all anti-spiritual philosophies. Since Darwinism rejects the fact of creation—and therefore, Allah's existence—over the last 150 years it has caused many people to abandon their faith or fall into doubt. It is therefore an imperative service, a very important duty to show everyone that this theory is a deception. Since some readers may find the opportunity to read only one of our books, we think it appropriate to devote a chapter to summarize this subject.
- All the author's books explain faith-related issues in light of Qur'anic verses, and invite readers to learn Allah's words and to live by them. All the subjects concerning Allah's verses are explained so as to leave no doubt or room for questions in the reader's mind. The books' sincere, plain, and fluent style ensures that everyone of every age and from every social group can easily understand them. Due to their effective, lucid narrative, they can be read at one sitting. Even those who rigorously reject spirituality are influenced by the facts these books document and cannot refute the truthfulness of their contents.
- This and all the other books by the author can be read individually, or discussed in a group. Readers eager to profit from the books will find discussion very useful, letting them relate their reflections and experiences to one another.
- In addition, it will be a great service to Islam to contribute to the publication and reading of these books, written solely for the pleasure of Allah. The author's books are all extremely convincing. For this reason, to communicate true religion to others, one of the most effective methods is encouraging them to read these books.
- We hope the reader will look through the reviews of his other books at the back of this book. His rich source material on faith-related issues is very useful, and a pleasure to read.
- In these books, unlike some other books, you will not find the author's personal views, explanations based on dubious sources, styles that are unobservant of the respect and reverence due to sacred subjects, nor hopeless, pessimistic arguments that create doubts in the mind and deviations in the heart.

DARWINIST PROPAGANDA TECHNIQUES

June, 2011

Published by

GLOBAL PUBLISHING

Kayisdagi Mah. Degirmen Sok. No: 3

Ataşehir-Istanbul / TURKEY

Phone: (+90 216) 660 00 59

Printed by:Secil Ofset

100. Yil Mahallesi MAS-SIT Matbaacilar Sitesi

4. Cadde No: 77 Bagcilar-Istanbul / Turkey

Phone: (+90 212) 629 06 15

www.harunyahya.com - en.harunyahya.tv



ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Now writing under the pen-name of HARUN YAHYA, Adnan Oktar was born in Ankara in 1956. Having completed his primary and secondary education in Ankara, he studied fine arts at Istanbul's Mimar Sinan University and philosophy at Istanbul University. Since the 1980s, he has published many books on political, scientific, and faith-related issues. Harun Yahya is well-known as the author of important works disclosing the imposture of evolutionists, their invalid claims, and the dark liaisons between Darwinism and such bloody ideologies as fascism and communism.

Harun Yahya's works, translated into 72 different languages, constitute a collection for a total of more than 55,000 pages with 40,000 illustrations.

His pen-name is a composite of the names Harun (Aaron) and Yahya (John), in memory of the two esteemed Prophets who fought against their peoples' lack of faith. The Prophet's seal on his books' covers is symbolic and is linked to their contents. It represents the Qur'an (the Final Scripture) and Prophet Muhammad (saas), last of the prophets. Under the guidance of the Qur'an and the Sunnah (teachings of the Prophet [saas]), the author makes it his purpose to disprove each fundamental tenet of irreligious ideologies and to have the "last word," so as to completely silence the objections raised against religion. He uses the seal of the final Prophet (saas), who attained ultimate wisdom and moral perfection, as a sign of his intention to offer the last word.

All of Harun Yahya's works share one single goal: to convey the Qur'an's message, encourage readers to consider basic faith-related issues such as Allah's existence and unity and the Hereafter; and to expose irreligious systems' feeble foundations and perverted ideologies.

Harun Yahya enjoys a wide readership in many countries, from

India to America, England to Indonesia, Poland to Bosnia, Spain to Brazil, Malaysia to Italy, France to Bulgaria and Russia. Some of his books are available in English, French, German, Spanish, Italian, Portuguese, Urdu, Arabic, Albanian, Chinese, Swahili, Hausa, Dhivehi (spoken in Maldives), Russian, Serbo-Croat (Bosnian), Polish, Malay, Uighur Turkish, Indonesian, Bengali, Danish and Swedish.

Greatly appreciated all around the world, these works have been instrumental in many people recovering faith in Allah and gaining deeper insights into their faith. His books' wisdom and sincerity, together with a distinct style that's easy to understand, directly affect anyone who reads them. Those who seriously consider these books, can no longer advocate atheism or any other perverted ideology or materialistic philosophy, since these books are characterized by rapid effectiveness, definite results, and irrefutability. Even if they continue to do so, it will be only a sentimental insistence, since these books refute such ideologies from their very foundations. All contemporary movements of denial are now ideologically defeated, by means of the books written by Harun Yahya.

This is no doubt a result of the Qur'an's wisdom and lucidity. The author modestly intends to serve as a means in humanity's search for Allah's right path. No material gain is sought in the publication of these works.

Those who encourage others to read these books, to open their minds and hearts and guide them to become more devoted servants of Allah, render an invaluable service.

Meanwhile, it would only be a waste of time and energy to propagate other books that create confusion in people's minds, lead them into ideological confusion, and that clearly have no strong and precise effects in removing the doubts in people's hearts, as also verified from previous experience. It is impossible for books devised to emphasize the author's literary power rather than the noble goal of saving people from loss of faith, to have such a great effect. Those who doubt this can readily see that the sole aim of Harun Yahya's books is to overcome disbelief and to disseminate the Qur'an's moral values. The success and impact of this service are manifested in the readers' conviction.

One point should be kept in mind: The main reason for the continuing cruelty, conflict, and other ordeals endured by the vast majority of people is the ideological prevalence of disbelief. This can be ended only with the ideological defeat of disbelief and by conveying the wonders of creation and Qur'anic morality so that people can live by it. Considering the state of the world today, leading into a downward spiral of violence, corruption and conflict, clearly this service must be provided speedily and effectively, or it may be too late.

In this effort, the books of Harun Yahya assume a leading role. By the will of Allah, these books will be a means through which people in the twenty-first century will attain the peace, justice, and happiness promised in the Qur'an.



DARWINIST PROPAGANDA TECHNIQUES



HARUN YAHYA
(ADNAN OKTAR)



Contents

INTRODUCTION	10
DARWINIST PROPAGANDA TECHNIQUES	14
<i>The Darwinists regularly use the press to bring up the fraudulent idea that “The missing link has been found.”</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>They Use Scientific Terminology and Formulae to Cast a Magic Spell</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>They try to portray all of life as very simple</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Secret backing is provided for the major press so they will support Darwinism</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>They try to portray evolution as fact by suggesting that “We have managed to make artificial life”</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>They seek to portray chance as divine (Surely Allah is beyond that)</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>They try to distract people with fine detail</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>They try to use similarities as evidence</i>	<i>60</i>
<i>They apply a comprehensive policy of intimidation against those who deny evolution</i>	<i>64</i>

<i>They condition people with the lie that vast masses of people believe in evolution</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>They try not to lose supporters by threatening them with exclusion from society</i>	<i>72</i>
<i>They try to give the impression that large numbers of people support evolution by arranging false polls and statistics</i>	<i>76</i>
<i>They claim to not to be “bothered” by anti-Darwinist activities.....</i>	<i>78</i>
<i>They try to cast a spell over people with false illustrations and reconstructions</i>	<i>82</i>
<i>When evidence refuting evolution is discovered, they either ignore or else try to destroy it</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>In order to maintain their ideology they have even proposed the idea of space creatures</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>They try to silence activities demonstrating the invalidity of evolution, not with scientific evidence, but by shouting the opposition down</i>	<i>94</i>
<i>The fact they suffer psychological troubles and difficulties is clear from their behavior and reactions</i>	<i>96</i>
<i>They maintain that all claims refuting evolution are unscientific</i>	<i>98</i>
<i>They try to spread the deception that evolution and belief in Allah are not incompatible</i>	<i>104</i>
 <i>CONCLUSION</i>	 <i>110</i>
<i>All superstition will vanish in the face of Truth</i>	<i>111</i>
 <i>The Deception of Evolution</i>	 <i>114</i>



Introduction

Darwinism is based on ignorance and takes advantage of people's lack of knowledge on scientific matters. The Darwinist ideology is based on establishing preconceptions among the uninformed. The technique used to that end is a type of mass hypnosis achieved through frequent repetition and thereby establishing an almost magical effect: this is commonly referred to as **indoctrination**.

Darwinist indoctrination has duped the whole world, so much so that a great many people have been turned into passionate devotees of "evolution," despite knowing almost nothing at all about the subject. Most of them aren't even aware what they are espousing. They have merely been influenced by pictures of fictitious ape-men walking ever more upright as time passes or reports such as "... our ancestors were worms" that they see in the daily papers. This indoctrination has achieved its aims by using these methods. Because of this false success, nobody has ever thought to ask, "Is there any evidence?" And indeed, Darwinists have no evidence to submit. For people on the receiving end of this conditioning, evolution has now become an inseparable part of life they encounter just about everywhere, that they constantly come across in major dailies and magazines, that is officially taught in state schools and protected and encouraged in institutions of state, and espoused by world renowned scientists. A blatant fraud has literally been imposed as if it were true by means of conditioning, indoctrination and deception.

The sole reason for the 150 years of success enjoyed by Darwinism, the worst mass deception in history, is this: **Propaganda!**

Applied in a systematic manner, Darwinist propaganda, a blatant fraud, has expertly been made to dominate the world.

Through this propaganda, the false theory of evolution, refuted by science, has been portrayed as a sole and indisputable scientific truth to the entire world.

Darwinist indoctrination has been spread by way of a constant barrage of propaganda, and some people have fallen under its sway and become unquestioning Darwinists, generally in an unconscious manner. So much so, that some have become unwitting adherents of an exceedingly dangerous theory that represents the root of all oppressive systems that have inflicted such terrible suffering on the world and shed so much blood during the 20th century. The 150-year-old Darwinist deception that has so engulfed the world is solely the result of this highly organized propaganda.

In this book you will see evidence of how Darwinists make use of the propaganda techniques in question and perpetrate mass indoctrination. The newspaper headings cited throughout the book are intended to show how Darwinists employ parts of the press, a part of their propaganda weapon, and shamelessly disseminate false and deceptive reports. These captions are very important in terms of deciphering this false propaganda and in helping readers understand the kind of Darwinist deception they are up against and in enabling them to assess subsequent reports in the light of a true perspective.

The aim of this book is to expose the Darwinist fraud and to show how Darwinists have blatantly misled people. It is to show how people have been conditioned and lied to for 150 years. The book you are holding constitutes significant evidence of how Darwinism is a fraud and why it is, when there are 350 million fossils which all refute evolution, and yet the whole world has been told that not even a single protein can form

by chance. Despite the evidence to the contrary, the evolutionist theory is still widespread among governments, schools, universities, much of the press and some scientists.

The Darwinism refuted by science will now suffer yet another defeat as its propaganda techniques are exposed. The 21st century will be the era when despite all the means, material support, press backing and propaganda employed by the Darwinists, evolution will suffer its most severe collapse and be finally annihilated. The 21st century will be the era when all pagan religions are eliminated, a century that manifests our Almighty Lord's title of Hadi (He Who leads to guidance): Almighty Allah reveals in verses:

... and you have seen people entering Allah's religion in droves, then glorify your Lord's praise and ask His forgiveness. He is the Ever-Returning. (Surat an-Nasr, 2-3)



*Darwinist
Propaganda
Techniques*

The Darwinists regularly use the press to bring up the fraudulent idea that “The missing link has been found.”

At the heart of the Darwinist propaganda techniques is the constant repetition of a lie, just as in Nazi Germany. This method, known as “The Big Lie Technique”, employed successfully by the Nazi minister of propaganda, Dr. Joseph Goebbels, has been comprehensively utilized with the aim of spreading Darwinism. With the constant repetition of this lie, not only scientists, and world famous newspapers and magazines adopted it, but some ignorant people also came to believe it as well. That is the climate in which Darwinism grew and flourished.

Because of this propaganda technique, people have been hearing the same tale for years: "The missing link has been found", "The missing link has come to light" or "The missing link is complete!"... The whole world is by now familiar with headlines about fictitious evolutionary missing links that have never been discovered. The reason for this is because Darwinists keep administering this indoctrination in specific doses... That is the aim behind the repetition, to ensure the Darwinist spell maintains its influence over people.

When the invalidity of Darwinism is exposed, when people are told there is no such thing as a missing link, an air of panic sets in among Darwinist circles. In that event, the tempo of repetition (by way of the press) is increased. Darwinists either come up with a sensational claim or else intensify their reports about supposed missing links. The dosage is stepped up in a moment. Whatever falsity is needed to prevent the spell being broken is brought up. Fossils of extinct life forms

are used for this false propaganda. Darwinist scientists spring into action and these reports are supported to the maximum possible extent by TV programs, Darwinist journals and documentaries.

Ida, for example, is a stunning fraud produced under the influence of one such episode of panic. The way that a lemur fossil was taken and shamelessly heralded to the whole world as an ancestor of man is truly a shocking phenomenon. The way that this fossil was heralded through brazen propaganda and false slogans as if it were the eighth wonder of the world, and all the wide-ranging furor about it was a clear expression of the Darwinists' worry and despair. A great many Darwinists were forced to make explicit statements about how embarrassing they found all this furor. And when, following all this false propaganda, it was finally revealed that Ida was merely a lemur, apologies were duly issued and all claims hastily retracted.

The way that a lemur fossil and a Bonobo monkey can be misrepresented as ancestors of man in the Darwinist press is truly shocking. Darwinists have had to apologize for this shameless propaganda.



Ida

Ida is not the only example. Such sensations have become common of late due to the terrifying effects of the rise of Darwinism. Following Ida, Ardi was produced as a result of that same despair, and a monkey fossil was heralded as the ancestor of man. But this sensation was short-lived, and all claims were again retracted when it was declared to be simply a monkey.

Claims are constantly being taken back, and will continue to be retracted in the future. Because all reports about "missing links being found" are lies. More than 300 million fossils have been unearthed to date, yet not a single one is a transitional fossil. Despite all the calls and invitations, not one single Darwinist has managed to produce one single transitional fossil. The more this most intensive propaganda technique on which Darwinism relies is eliminated and exposed, the more Darwinists are suffering their real defeat. Their frauds are being exposed one by one.

Fossil Ida's 'father' defends the media hype
13.08.26 May 2009
Link Science In Society
Bowen Hood
This morning chance to see
Better know unveiled ah
The Link, the BBC/History Channel do
replica of Ida to the museum.
Jern Hurum, the University of Oslo pe
named the specimen Ida after his da
and how pleased he was to have Da
Then I asked Hurum whether, with a
little longer to do a more rigorous ar
Attenborough's eyes widened as I a
know the answer to. Hurum's respo

The earliest hominid discovered
A research team led by UC-Berkeley scientists has discovered in Ethiopia a 4.4-million-year-old skeleton of *Ardipithecus ramidus*. Known as "Ardi," the specimen is the earliest known skeleton from the human branch of the primate family tree.

Hominid family tree
Genus, species and time of evidence
7 million years ago 6 million 5 million 4 million 3 million 2 million 1 million Present

HOMO
H. sapiens (Modern humans)
H. floresiensis
H. neanderthalensis
H. heidelbergensis
H. erectus
H. habilis

AUSTRALOPITHECUS
Au. robustus
Au. boisei
Au. aethiopicus
Au. rudolfensis
Au. garhi
Au. africanus
Au. bahrelghazali
Au. atarensis
Au. anamensis "Lucy" skeleton found in 1974

KENYANTHROPUS platyops

ARDIPITHECUS
Ar. ramidus
Ar. kadabba

Discovery site
SUDAN ETHIOPIA SOMALIA
Addis Ababa
300 miles
KENYA

Ardi was an adult female who likely stood 4 feet tall and weighed about 120 pounds.

Source: New York Times, Science Magazine and World Book Encyclopedia. Illustration by J.H. Mattarres
MERCURY NEWS

The Ardi and Ida appeared under these headlines in part of the press. The aim was to maintain evolutionary propaganda with false news reports.

Darwinist confessions regarding the Ida deception

Charles Beard, a paleontologist from the Johns Hopkins University Carnegie Museum of Natural History: "This fossil is not as close to monkeys, apes, and humans as we are being led to believe."¹

Duke University paleontologist Richard Kay admits that, "there is no scientific analysis to prove that Ida is a missing link," **IN OTHER WORDS, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE FOR IT AT ALL.**²

Timesonline carried the following admission: "Attenborough ... was just one element of the media circus turning Ida into humanity's newest and best link with its ancient past." "Such finds are usually unveiled to the world through the sober pages of an academic journal, but for Ida nothing less than a glittering press conference at the American Museum of Natural History in New York would do. Later the scientists who studied Ida outlined the details of their research. Their pronouncements were just as extravagant."³

Richard Foley, a professor of human evolution at Cambridge University, said that, "it is 'meaningless' to describe this creature as a missing link."⁴

"Dr. Simons phoned me for the first time in 10 years to share his outrage about this MALARKEY and, for the first time in a decade, I agree with him," said Beard last week. "...The roll-out was extraordinary and it is now clear that the scientists were under pressure to meet the showbusiness deadlines."

Simons had said: "It's absurd and dangerous. ... This is all bad science... Darwinius is a wonderful fossil, but **IT IS NOT A MISSING LINK OF ANY KIND. IT REPRESENTS A DEAD END IN EVOLUTION.**"

.....
1 The Missing Link? Nightline, ABC News television, May 20, 2009. The Missing Link? Nightline, ABC News television, May 20, 2009

2 Gibbons, A. "Revolutionary" Fossil Fails to Dazzle Paleontologists. ScienceNOW Daily News. Posted on sciencenow.sciencemag.org May 19, 2009, accessed May 20, 2009

3 <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/uk/science/article6350095.ece>

4 <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/uk/science/article6350095.ece>

FALSE NEWS REPORTS

Fossil Ida: Extraordinary find is 'missing link' in human evolution

Perfectly preserved fossil Ida, unveiled in New York today, provides unprecedented insight into our evolution. www.guardian.co.uk/science/

James Randerson
guardian.co.uk, Tuesday 19 May 2009 15:30 BST
Science history



Ida, one of the most complete primate fossils ever found, a 47-million-year-old human ancestor.
Photograph: Atlantic Productions Ltd



[Link to this audio](#)

Scientists have discovered an exquisitely preserved ancient primate fossil that they believe forms a crucial "missing link" between our own evolutionary branch of life and the rest of the animal kingdom.

The 47m-year-old primate – named Ida – has been hailed as the fossil equivalent of a "Rosetta Stone" for understanding the critical early stages of primate evolution.

The Ida fraud was launched with a huge fanfare in the press, and Ida was intensively used for Darwinist propaganda purposes. The missing link was shamelessly maintained until the fraud was exposed.

FALSE NEWS REPORTS

www.nature.com/news/

online 5 April 2006 | Nature | doi:10.1038/news060403-7

The fish that crawled out of the water

A newly found fossil link fish to land-lubbers.

By David

A crucial fossil that shows how animals crawled out from the water, evolving from fish into land-loving animals, has been found in Canada.

The creature, described today in *Nature*^{1,2}, lived some 375 million years ago. Palaeontologists are calling the specimen from the Devonian a true "missing link", as it helps to fill in a gap in our understanding of how fish developed legs for land mobility, before eventually evolving into modern animals including mankind.

New Scientist, 09.09.2006

Missing links

have so-called missing links. The *Tiktaalik*, may not be the direct ancestor of the modern air-breathing tetrapods of four limbs, as researchers prefer the term "transitional form". It is a fish with a flat, fish-like body, but many, many thousands have turned up since and the discovery keeps on coming. We now have fossil evidence of all the major stages in evolution, such as the evolution of mammals from reptiles. Some notable fish include:

Archaeopteryx (feathered reptile)
The first land was discovered just two years after Darwin published

on the origin of species. The modern fish, a flat wings, feathers and a skeleton, but evolved to replace the joints and tail. It is unlikely to be a direct ancestor of modern birds, but it is a mix of characteristics — and the fossil evidence of its evolution is a crucial link in the history of evolution.

Tiktaalik: This group of reptiles laid egg, but had mammalian features such as a water tank for breathing and a secondary arm supporting the mouth from the head while chewing. Some of the bones that in other reptiles

part of the lower jaw instead had become fused through the middle ear. *Archaeopteryx* probably had feet consisting from scales and were more bird-like. Many fossil species have been found.

Mesozoic: The early whale is intermediate in the transition from early land mammals back to a fully aquatic lifestyle. *Mesozoic* had flat teeth, and both front and hind limbs ended in webbed feet rather than fingers. However, it also had a long tail, and the vertebrae near its hind limbs are beginning to resemble those of the legs — a key step in enabling developing their self-supporting form of locomotion.

starting to strengthen up the middle part of its body, allowing it to resist bending and twisting forces," says Ahlberg. "This makes sense if it's starting to crawl out of the water a little bit."

In addition, some of the *Tiktaalik* specimens show very clear signs of the bony plates that in other fish anchor the head rigidly to the rest of the body at the gill region. Because the specimens are so well preserved, it seems unlikely that these were lost during fossilization, which suggests *Tiktaalik* may be the first fish with a neck. This new fossil evidence of movement would have helped an ungainly animal look around and catch prey in shallow water or on land. *Tiktaalik* has characteristics, *Tiktaalik* is highly unlikely to be the actual ancestor of tetrapods. After all, most fossilized species represent only a snapshot in time, rather than parts of the evolutionary tree. *Tiktaalik* was probably just one of many evolutionary experiments going on about the same time.

Nevertheless, *Tiktaalik* and *Archaeopteryx* tell a stronger story. "The shared characteristics of *Tiktaalik* and *Archaeopteryx* are attributes of the trunk of that part of the tree. That's why they are present in those two branches," says Ahlberg. "Our actual ancestor, which was living somewhere at the time of *Tiktaalik*

probably just over the next half — had these same characteristics."

If *Tiktaalik* is any indication, those great amphibians spent most of their time in the water. *Tiktaalik* will have well-developed gills and a lubricious (slippery) web probably the feet it could rest on for movement over land, uses a mostly aquatic (fish-like) would have had good access to water from the shallow or even surface.

Tiktaalik lived at the time that the first water-breathing vertebrates were still themselves. Full-grown forms with more complex deep-sea developing where forms just moved and other fish, shallow-water plants grow. This greater freedom being with it a burgeoning terrestrial fauna land, and the fallow lands and other deserts plant marine would have provided extra resources into shallow oceans as diverse habitats. That is where the opportunities would have been.

"If you're standing at the margin, seeing a small stream-filled with no sign of life," the stream is boring there were large fish, so to speak to all insects were functioning like you what you have in a really fish-out-of-water. What's in the end? The proof at least more with fish-like forms. The advantages to being able to exploit shallow and freshwater to avoid gills access to a competition-free

The Missing Link

[://blogs.abcnews.com/scienceandsociety/](http://blogs.abcnews.com/scienceandsociety/)

Print RSS SHARE Email Facebook Twitter YouTube MySpace More

High in the Canadian Arctic, a team of paleontologists has found what may be our great-great-great-grandfathers. They're fossils of ancient fish, 360 to 380 million years old...with the beginnings of legs.

Remember everything you learned as a child about how living things began in the oceans, and then, at some point, walked up on land? It was a terrific theory, but until now, only that. There were plenty of fossils of fish older than 400 million years, and plenty of legged animals younger than that, but not much in between.

Neil Shubin, a biologist at the University of Chicago, and his colleagues on Ellesmere Island, ...


Newsweek, 23 August 1999

ALL IN THE FAMILY: An up-to-date genealogy of modern humans and their evolutionary predecessors

THE MORE SCIENTISTS dig, the more hominid species they find. Most are distant cousins that went extinct without



ern humans and their evolutionary predecessors

 4 <i>A. africanus</i>	 5 <i>A. aethiopicus</i>	 6 <i>A. garhi</i>	 7 <i>A. bahari</i>
2.5 million to 2.3 million years ago, South Africa	2.8 million to 2.3 million years ago, East Africa, Ethiopia	2.5 million years ago, East Africa, Ethiopia	2.3 million to 1.4 million years ago, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania
May be an ancestor of <i>A. bahari</i> and <i>A. africanus</i> . The fossil alone, found by Richard Leakey's team, is called the Black Skull	The second hominid species to be identified, it may have been the first to use stone tools and eat meat	First ancient hominid found by the Leakeys. This stuff's huge molars earned it the nickname "Nutcracker Man"	
 8 <i>H. erectus</i>	 9 <i>H. antecessor</i>	 10 <i>H. neanderthalensis</i>	 11 <i>Homo sapiens</i>
7 million to 200,000 years ago, Sub-Saharan Africa	800,000 years ago, Gran Dolina, Spain	200,000 to ~30,000 years ago, Neander Valley, Germany	Earliest known fossils date to about 100,000 years ago. Other fossils from Africa that are between about 1 million and 200,000 years old probably include members of modern humans
May be the last common ancestor of <i>H. neanderthalensis</i> and <i>H. sapiens</i>		Overlapped with <i>H. sapiens</i> . Earlier forms extending back to 700,000 years ago sometimes	

News, 8 April 2006



The fish that headed for land

Fishpod could do push ups

www.newsscientist.com/channel/life/evolution

Science & Vie, November 1998



News, 27 June 2005



New Scientist, 09.09.2006



Four-winged dinosaur fossil hunters
New Scientist, 25 Jan 2003



The Australian, 5 April 2010

Skeleton fills evolution gap

A FOSSIL skeleton of a child found in a cave system known as the Cradle of Humankind may represent a previous stage in human evolution. The skeleton, which is complete despite being only 3 years old, is believed to be one of the hominid fossils that usually little more than bone fragments. Scientists hope such a complete find will help them work out what our ancestors looked like and determine how they evolved in their evolution from



For someone who is unaware that evolution is a fraud, these reports in well-known newspaper, accompanied by fossil illustrations and scientific terminology and formulae may well be misleadingly convincing. The fact is, however, that all reports of a "missing link" are specious. THERE EXISTS NOT ONE SINGLE TRANSITIONAL FOSSIL SUPPORTING EVOLUTION. No such missing link has ever been found, and it is impossible for one ever to be found. Because living things NEVER EVOLVED. ALLAH CREATED THEM ALL.

They Use Scientific Terminology and Formulae to Cast a Magic Spell

The fact that all false reports regarding the theory of evolution are issued in the name of science, published in Darwinist scientific journals, taught as science in biology classes in school curricula, and that the adherents of evolution constantly refer to themselves as “scientific”, has had a huge effect on conditioning people. As a result of this intensive Darwinist conditioning, rejection of evolution is portrayed as equivalent to rejecting science itself. Evolution is taught by means of imposition and calling it science.

But the fact is that Darwinism has nothing to do with science. Science is the enemy of Darwinism. Science is opposed to atheism. Science is anti-communist and anti-Marxist. Science obliterates Marxist, atheist and Darwinist thinking. Every branch of the sciences has refuted evolution. Science has demolished the Darwinist deception; science has completely discredited evolution. Microbiology, genetics, molecular biology, zoology, paleontology, in short, all branches of life science, have proved the invalidity of evolution. Darwinists have suffered their worst defeat at the hands of science. It is therefore a terrible deception for evolution to be taught as if it were scientific, as science is for those who believe in Allah; it benefits true believers.

Yet, despite all these facts, the Darwinists’ main vehicle of deception is science. They are unwilling to abandon this indoctrination. That is why they utilize plenty of scientific terminology and formulae in the deceptive reports they publish in Darwinist science magazines in order to give the impression they are providing readers with highly significant, inaccessible, and complex information.

Latin words are the star performers among the Darwinists’ repertoire of deceptive techniques. Darwinists imagine that by bestowing Latin names on false fossils or freshly minted false theories that this

will somehow enhance their credibility. Yet there is no scientific evidence behind anything they say. But some people who listen to those who employ lots of Latin words fall for this supposedly scientific show and imagine they are dealing with impossibly inaccessible knowledge. They imagine they cannot possibly understand the subject, that it is best left to scientists, and that everything they say must be true. This conditioning is so powerful that failing to go along with the scientists in question is perceived of as serious irrationality. That is how the profound enchantment of people is established.

Master Bediuzzaman Said Nursi, the greatest Islamic scholar of the last thousand years, described how Darwinist conditioning of and influence over people had become a scourge affecting vast masses of people:

Materialism is a spiritual plague which has infected man with a fearsome fever, causing him to be visited by Divine wrath. The more the ability to inculcate and criticize expands, so does that plague spread.¹


As Bediuzzaman, the Master, notes, this conditioning is utterly deceptive. The formulae, Latin words and scientific terminology employed by Darwinists are all false. The scientists in question are also well aware that they are espousing a fraud. **Darwinists are merely using science to put on a scientific circus.**

When the true facts of all the tales they have manufactured is brought to light they have to swiftly take back all the fossils they have spent so many pages describing in Darwinist publications until that time. The infamous Piltdown Man (also known by the invented Latin name *Eoanthropus dawsoni*) fraud, which was the result of attaching an orangutan jaw to a human skull and adding a few teeth to it, was the subject of more than 40 “scientific” doctoral theses; the Nebraska Man fraud, based on a single pig tooth, depicted in illustrations even to the extent of its supposed family and taught in text books for many years under the name *Hesperopithecus haroldcookii*, as if it were “scientific”

FALSE NEWS REPORTS



Scientific American, May 2002



Adada evrim kararı solladı

Evolution on island outpaced that on the mainland
Birgün, 20 September 2006

» Su altında renkli mucizeler

GEÇİCİ İstihbarat Endonezya'da bulunan 'Yazı Geneti' arkeolojik gömüde su altında yapılan arazi kazılarında 30'ten fazla yeni canlı türüne rastlandı. Bilim insanlarının 'gizli' mucizeleri denizde bir su altı dünyası olarak tanımladı. Endonezya'nın Papua eyaletinde, Sulawesi...

Bilim insanları ayrıca bir sınıfta, isle ortamlarda tür bolluklerinin daha hızlı evrildiğini varsayıyordu. İlk kez Kanadalı bir bilim insanı buna ampikli kanıt buldu. Kanada'nın McGill Üniversitesi profesörü Virginia...

son, karadaki evrim sürecinde etkili kalan bir yaratıcılığın ortaya çıkması ve gelişimi konusunda Adada bu anlamda dördüncü evrim laboratuvarı gibi...

Erkekler 50 bin yıl önce de 'süslüydü'

Males wore "decorations" 50,000 years ago
Star, 12. 01. 2010


Yeni partikülleri erkeklerin süslüye başlaması zamanının ne kadar eskide olduğunu gösterdi. Birinci Üst Paleolitik'ten Prof. Dr. Joao Zilhao ve ekibi Neandertal kültürünün süslü yapıtlarını, diğer ilk karstik bulguların...



Man is not monogamous, but a serial monogamist
Hürriyet, 10 04. 2001

İnsan monogam değil seri monogam

İstanbul Tıp Fakültesi Onkoloji Anabilim Dalı öğretim üyesi Prof. Dr. Atay Kadıoğlu, Babo üyelerinde yapılan araştırmaya ve istatistiklerden de yararlanarak, insan cinselliğinin geçirdiği evrimi hayvanların cinselliğine dayanarak yapıyor. Kadıoğlu'nun araştırması, cinsel organların evriminden, erken boşalmadan nedenlerine uzanan ilginç sonuçlar ortaya koyuyor.



Evrimin formülü bulundu

Üç Fransız araştırmacıların, özellikle de İnsanofiliyan evriminin formülünü belirler Eureka Dergisi'nin Eylül sayısında yer alan araştırma göre bütün türler belli bir formüle göre evrim geçiriyor. Üç uzmanın belirlediği formül şöyle :

$Tn = Tc + (Tn - Tc) g$ üstü Bu formüle göre zaman içinde (Tn) yaşanan her evrimlik zamana (Tc), evrim sürecinin başlangıç zamanı (t), bir evrim dalının alt dalı rana olan 'g' katsayısı sıradan belirleniyor. Jean Chaliss, Laurent Lottale ve Pierre Gros'dan oluşan ekibe göre, bu formül insanın modern insana kadar büyük değişim (mutasyon) geçirdiği ancak paleontologlar bu süre kadar bunlardan ö'neza çıkarılabildiler. Bilinmeyen nedene ve en eski değişiminin soruların, sempozyumun ve zorun ortak atası olduğu düşünülüyor. Ayrıca, formül geçeriye, 800 bin yıl içinde yeni bir insan türünün (evrim sonucu) ortaya çıkması bekleniyor. Site konusu ekip bu formülü

The formula of evolution discovered
Hürriyet, 03. 09. 1999

FALSE NEWS REPORTS

Omurgalılar 400 yıldır konuşuyor

Vertebrates have been speaking for 400 years
Birgün, 19 August 2008



Schizophrenia 'helped the ascent of man'

Scientist says gene mutation is key to genius and despair
www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2001/mar/18/education.highereducation

Tiny mutations in our ancestors' brain cells triggered mankind's takeover of the world 100,000 years ago. But these changes also cursed our species to suffer from schizophrenia and depression. This is the controversial claim by biochemist David Horvath in a new book, *The Madness of Adam & Eve: How schizophrenia shaped humanity*, to be published by Bantam Press next month.

Horvath - who is medical adviser to the Schizophrenia Association of Great Britain - argues that the changes which propelled humanity to its current global ascendancy were the same as those which have left us vulnerable to mental disease.

We became human because of small genetic changes in the chemistry of the brain in our skulls," he says. "These changes injected into our ancestors both the seeds of the illness of schizophrenia and the extraordinary minds which made us human."

Horvath's theory also provides support for observations that have linked the most energetic, imaginative members of our species with mental disease. In particular schizophrenia - an association supported by studies in Iceland, Finland, New York and London. These show that families with schizophrenic members seem to have a greater variety of skills and abilities, and a greater likelihood of producing high achievers. He states, for example, Horvath points out that Einstein had a son who was schizophrenic, as was James Joyce's daughter and Carl Jung's mother.

In addition, Horvath points to a long list of geniuses whose personalities and temperaments have been traced to schizophrenia or signs of mental illness.

Stone Age man took drugs, say scientists

Scientists have discovered evidence suggesting Stone Age man took drugs
www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newstopping/howaboutthat/3225729/Stone-Age-man-took-drugs-say-scientists.html



New research, researchers have found evidence used to prepare hallucinogenic drugs for sniffing, and dated them back to prehistoric South American tribes.

Quintin Egan, of University College London, and Brent Fitzpatrick, an archaeologist from South Carolina State University, made the breakthrough on the Caribbean island of Curacao.

They found ceramic bowls, as well as tubes for snuffing drug fumes or powders, which appear to have originated in South America between 10000 and 40000, and were then carried 400 miles to the

The use of such paraphernalia for snuffing drugs is well known, but the bowls have drawn new light on how long humans have been drugging.

We believe that the drug being used was tobacco, a hallucinogen.

Even Stone Age man had a drug dependency!
Birgün, 20. 10. 2008

Şizofreni olmasaydı maymun kalacaktık

İnsanlığın "yeni" aşkıdır. Belki bu kurtulmuş dünyada diğer canlılardan farklı bir yarıya geliyor ve "Şizofreni" sanki insanın ulaştığı bir sınırdır. Birin adanması belki de bir sınırdır. Birin adanması belki de bir sınırdır. Birin adanması belki de bir sınırdır. Birin adanması belki de bir sınırdır.



Arkadaşlığın evrimi...

The evolution of friendship
Birgün, 15 August 2005



Had it not been for schizophrenia, we would still be monkeys
Hürriyet, 20. 03. 2001

İlk önce erkek konuşmuş

HOMO sapiens'in kökenini araştıran Britiş Academy bilim adamları erkeklerin daha önce konuştuğuna öne sürdüler. İngiliz araştırmacılarının sonucu, insanın hayvanlar dünyasında eşitlik kuran evrimsel genetik araştırmaları arasında çığır açan bir bölünümü eleştirmektedir. Arkeoloji, paleontoloji, dilbilimi, psikoloji, genetik ve evrim araştırmaları arasında çığır açan sonuçlar Homo sapiens'in konuşma yeteneği sayesinde tanıdık kazandırdığı konusunda hemfikirler. Her ne kadar girişimlerde, kadınlar, dil/konuşma açısından erkeklerden daha yetenekli olmalarına rağmen



tarafından atıldığına insanın konuşma yeteneği yarısından fazla bir kısmını erkeklerin elinde tuttuğu söylenmektedir. Bilim jürisi bu bölgenin gelişim analizi rolü oynayan olguların saptadığı diğer primat türleri arasında Homo sapiens'in ilk geliştiği tahmin edilmektedir. evrimin ilk Academy'de ayrıca ince anılan adam yavaş değil bundan 10 yıl önce benden bile atıyorlar. Britiş Academy'den Tim Haptonin insan becerisine yeni bir bakış açısında önerilerdir

Men spoke first
Hürriyet Bilim, 12. 10. 2002



Taş devri insanı bile uyuşturucu bağımlısıymış!

Bilim insanları, taş devri insanının da uyuşturucu kullandığını keşfetti

Ek çığırlarda uyuşturucu kullanıldığını tahmin eden, ancak kanıt bulamayan araştırmacılar, şimdi uyuşturucunun tarih öncesi çağda kullanıldığını gösteriyor. London College'den Queta Kaye ve Kary Carolina Üniversitesinden arkeolog Scott Fitzpatrick, Kazıyılar adlandırılan Curacao'da yapılan araştırmada, Güney Amerika kabilesine ait seramik kâseler ile tutturduğunu ya da tütün bunsundan çekmeye yarayan pipeler buldu.



MÖ 100 ila 400 yıllarına ait olduğu tahmin edilen bu malzemelerin Kazıyılar'daki diğer adalara da yayıldığı ortaya çıktı. Bilim insanları, bu dönemdeki kullanılan uyuşturucunun, bugün Amerika'da ağacı olan "pipedenin" peygamberliklerinden yapılan "tobacco" olduğu düşünülüyor.



New Scientist, 16 October 1999

Is the "hmmm" sound underwater the basis of speech? Radikal, 19. 07. 2008

Sualındaki 'hmmm' sesi konuşmanın temeli mi?

WASHINGTON - Melika Karieri adı geçen, karaböğüçlü ailesinden geçen ağız ve karnen girile bir bölgenin (nasal) çıkardığı 'hmmm' sesi araştırma konusu oldu. Bu bölgenin ses ürettiğini gösteren bilim insanları, diğer hayvan ve insanlar ses çıkardığı en erken gelişmiş türlerin peşine düştü.

Kıy, balina, karaböğüç gibi pek çok hayvanın öncelikli seslerinden biri olarak düşünün karaböğüçlü bilim insanları. Sesler derinliği yarıdan azıncı seslerdir. Bu sesler, ses çıkaran türlerin ses ürettiğini göstermektedir. Bu sesler, ses çıkaran türlerin ses ürettiğini göstermektedir.



Food-fish'in 'hmmmm' sesinden yola çıkan uzmanlar, diğer hayvan ve insanlarda ses çıkarmadan önce erkekler inletilerini arıyor.

Bilim insanları da ses çıkardığına inanıyor. Bu ses, ses çıkaran türlerin ses ürettiğini göstermektedir.

Kur yapma sesi

Prof. İvan, 'Balkılar derinlemesine ses çıkardıkları için de beyin gücü kullanıldığını düşünmektedir. Bu sesler, ses çıkaran türlerin ses ürettiğini göstermektedir.

New Scientist, 24 May 2008



İlk cinayet Taş Devri'nde işlenmiş

Amerikalı antropologun yaptığı araştırma ya göre ilk cinayet Taş Devri'nde işlenmiş. Irak'ın kuzeybatısında bir mağarada bulunan kemik kalıntılarının, yaklaşık 40-50 yaşında olduğu tahmin ediliyor.

The first murder was committed in the Stone Age Hürriyet, 04 August 2009

3 milyon yıl önce en güzel kadını



The most beautiful woman 3 million years ago Akşam, 16. 01. 2003

One of the distinguishing features of Darwinists is the way that they laugh at people and shamelessly impose their utterly ludicrous reports on them. Since Darwinists have been doing this and gathering support in this way for the last 150 years, they imagine they can persist in the same fraud now by making use of the press. But it is not impossible for them to succeed.

evidence for evolution; the *Australopithecus afarensis* fraud, to give it its made-up Latin name, that subsequently transpired to be nothing but an ape; the *Archaeoraptor liaoningensis* fraud, the result of five different fossils being put together and depicted as a dinosaur preparing to take flight in all Darwinist publications, and finally the Ida fraud, a lemur heralded for a while as the ancestor of man, are just a few instances of this deception.

Each one of these is a fraud published in the world's most respected scientific journals citing world famous scientists as references and making plentiful use of Latin terminology. Unless the true facts of these frauds are exposed, they will astonishingly maintain their places in school textbooks and scientific journals and be defended by people bearing the title of "scientist." Darwinism is a serious danger and a deception that makes use of scientists, scientific journals, the TV and newspapers.

They try to portray all of life as very simple

Darwinists' deception techniques are generally based on not permitting people to think. One of the most effective ways of doing this, they foolishly imagine, is to completely ignore the extraordinary complexity of life and portray it as really very "simple."

Darwinists say that, "The cell came into being in muddy water." They try to deceive people with the idea of the cell "forming in muddy water," despite being at a complete loss to explain how a single protein might have formed by chance and are still striving to fully unravel the mystery of the cell in the most technically advanced laboratories.

The cell that Darwin in the 19th century imagined to be a balloon filled with water, has actually turned out to be more complex than the city of New York, one of the 21st century's greatest metropolises. It is impossible for a single protein inside the cell to form by chance, let alone the cell itself.





http://www.bbc.co.uk/sn/prehistoric_life/human/human_evolution/

The evolution of man



Our three million year journey from the treetops of Africa to civilisation.



Mother of man
One fossil discovery has transformed views of how we became human. But why is Lucy so important to human evolution?
BBC News: Evolution's human and chimp twist



Food for thought
Three million years ago, the Earth's climate was changing, with devastating consequences for the African landscape - and for our ancestors.



Leaving home
But by 2 million years ago, a new species of homo appeared - the first species we would truly recognise as human.



The first Europeans
Homo heidelbergensis was developing a complex mind - once this boundary had been reached, there was no turning back.



Ice people
Neanderthals' stocky bodies were adapted to withstand the extreme cold of the Ice Age. So why did they die out?
BBC News: Neanderthal 'last rock refuge'



The new batch
Human beings are phenomenally successful animals. But our species, homo sapiens, once came close to outright extinction.

<http://io9.com/#!5083673/princeton-scientists-discover-proteins-that-control-evolution>

Princeton Scientists Discover Proteins that Control Evolution



Time, 23 August 1999

Scientists are supposed to take place gradually, under pressure of natural selection. But a team of Princeton scientists investigating proteins that help cells burn energy has found evidence that this is not always the case. In fact, their discovery challenges the way we understand evolutionary processes. They suggest organisms actually have control over their own evolution.

At a few possible misconceptions, Raj Chakrabarti, that intelligent design is a set of conscious daily decisions. They are saying that organisms were tinkering with that regulates energy metabolism imposed on the order. A mathematical model of the fitness of the organisms.

Scientists are supposed to take place gradually, under pressure of natural selection. But a team of Princeton scientists investigating proteins that help cells burn energy has found evidence that this is not always the case. In fact, their discovery challenges the way we understand evolutionary processes. They suggest organisms actually have control over their own evolution.



Time, 18 Oct 1999

THE FIRST HUMAN being in Ethiopia

<http://www.selamta.net/Lucy.htm>

A team led by Drs. Yohannes Haile-Selassie and Bruce Latimer of the Cleveland Museum of Natural History, Cleveland, Ohio, has been conducting a paleoanthropological survey in the Mile-Chifra-Kasa Gita area of the Afar Region.



The survey was conducted under a permit from the Authority for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage (ARCCO) of the Ministry of Youth, Sports, and Culture and was financially supported by the Leakey Foundation and the Blomster-Green Foundation of the United States of America. The team located new hominid-bearing localities in the Burde Kibebe of Mile district in Zone One of the Afar Regional State.

The survey team has discovered 24 new fossil bearing localities. Three of the localities have yielded early hominid remains. Major fossiliferous areas are around the Mile River east of Mile Town. Mile is 520 km northeast of Addis Ababa, and the new site is approximately 60 kilometers north of the famous Lucy site. Several additional areas have been documented as fossiliferous although localities were not designated and fossils were not collected.

THE FOSSILS

The survey team collected a number of fossils that were exposed on the ground's surface. In their exposed position, these specimens could be subjected to erosional forces and had to be collected before they were seriously damaged or destroyed. A total skeleton of 12 early hominid fossil specimens were discovered, including parts of one individual's vertebrae, clavicle, pelvis, and a complete scapula of an adult whose sex and stature are yet to be determined, although it is already clear that the individual was larger than modern apes. In addition to this discovery, skeletal parts of other individuals were found in them below the rock (arm bones, leg bones, phalanges). The non-hominid fossil variety of antelope multiple species of pigs, gazelles, rhinoceros, elephants, and were discovered. Among small mammals, porcupines, cane rats, and other species of rats, hyppopotamus. The faunal assemblage also includes crocodiles, fish, and

GEOLOGY AND DATING

Exposed sediments in the new fossiliferous area are mostly silty sand and silty clay horizons interbedded with a number of volcanic tuffs and basaltic flows suitable for dating. The total section in the area is estimated to be about 50 meters thick. Geochronologist Dr. Alan Deino has collected 16 rock samples and the most critical samples above and below the fossiliferous horizon will be dated soon at the Berkeley Geochronology Center in Berkeley, California. The estimated age of the site, based on preliminary field analysis of the associated animal fossils, is roughly 3.8 to 4 million years. However, confirmation has to await radiometric dating of the rock samples.

Life is extraordinarily complex. A single cell is a whole living world, the details of which have still not been fully unraveled. Darwinists have suffered their worst defeat in the face of a single protein in the cell. They are unable to account for even a single protein. The reports of evolution that Darwinists manufacture using fields such as genetic research, genetics and DNA, are therefore hugely specious. The science of genetics has demolished evolution. The subject of the beginning of life has inflicted the worst collapse on Darwinists. No scientific research has ever produced any of the results claimed in Darwinist publications. All of the reports in question are fraudulent.

FALSE NEWS REPORTS

DINOSAUR OR BIRD? THE GAP NARROWS

Scientific American, March 2003

The staff of Scientific American went to press, researchers... in China, a dinosaur with... kind of feathers useful for flight... discovery, scientists had... creatures that possessed... feathers were one of the few... distinguished the avian descendants... Now it appears that even flight... evolved before birds... issue of Nature, Xing Xu, Zhonghe... from the Institute of Vertebrate... Anthropology of the Chinese Academy... newly discovered species of... looking asymmetrical of light feathers... wings. Moreover, the feathers are... the end of the limb, just as occurs...



Focus, Jul 2002

The evolution of flight

What came first - flight or feathers? ... How did flight initially come about?



Scientific American, October 2003



Time, 18 Oct 1999

When dinosaurs roared, the earth... but to eventually... evolutionary... the dinosaurs...

Time, 27 June 2005



Newsweek, Feb 2003

Chinese Dragon

The creature was less than a meter long, but had sharp teeth, a taste for fresh meat and could wrap...

Hell's Bugs

There may be a way to determine the... of the... Hell's bugs...



Scientific American, April 1997




Origine de l'homme

Science Vie, Aout 1998

Beaucoup d'appelés, peu d'élus

Une famille nombreuse

Aujourd'hui, la seule famille des hominidés est uniquement représentée par l'homme moderne et ses grands singes. Ils ont été précédés par un floppage d'espèces disparues, appartenant à des lignées éteintes. Mais ces connaissances ne sont pas nouvelles et sont souvent mal comprises. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent l'évolution des hominidés, leur relation avec les autres primates et l'impact de la sélection naturelle.



Scientific American, Jan 2003



All evolutionist claims are irrational and illogical. Small dinosaurs can ever suddenly turn into birds as they run along the ground. The fact it can run fast is not the only difference between a monkey and man. Neither anteaters nor worms nor bacteria are related to human beings. Life is far too complex to be explained with these fantastical Darwinist claims. Darwinists try to give the impression in the kind of headlines shown above that life is really very simple. Because they think that the simpler life is, the easier it will be for them to spread the idea that "everything came about by chance." They have misled people with such conditioning for many years. But modern science that has shown the complexity in a single cell has now spelled the end of Darwinism.

Time, 20 October 1996



der Schädel ist eine Menge Fragen aufgeworfen. Was war der Homo Neanderthal? War er ein alter Mensch, wie wir es sind, oder ein anderer Hominid?

WASCHEN FÜRCHER HABEN darauf eine gute einfache Antwort: Die Frau war eine kleine Australopithecus. Ein indonesischer Forscher hat die Überreste eines Australopithecus in Indonesien gefunden, was heißt, der erste Homo neanderthalensis ist kein Neanderthal, sondern ein Australopithecus, wie wir es sind.



Stern, December 2004

The Age of Pterosaurs

These long extinct winged reptiles continue to baffles—and excites—scientists who study them



Darwinists also claim that fish emerged onto dry land and gradually turned into amphibians, that reptiles suddenly grew wings and began to fly, that bears turned into whales while romping by the shore – which is Darwin’s own claim, and that chimpanzees (or, since Darwinists regard the words chimpanzee or monkey as slightly disparaging, fictitious “ape-like creatures,” as they themselves put it) turned into scientists, professors, and scholars who examine their own brain cells in laboratories. The glorious complexity in life is a huge dead-end for Darwinists. The only way out for them, is to explain everything in terms of chance; to depict life and the complexity within it as actually very “simple.”

To that end, the idea that Darwinists want to condition people with is essentially this: **Muddy water + chance + time = Life!** According to Darwinists, when coincidences, to which Darwinists attribute a creative power, combine together they can work miracles (surely Allah is beyond that) and turn life forms into one another, no matter how impossible that may actually be. The Darwinist claim is a ludicrous one that seeks to portray everything as very simple.

The imaginary formula that Darwinists use to account for the complex structures and huge variety of life on Earth.





SUPPOSITION ALL LIFE

This is a cunning act of indoctrination performed on people. This indoctrination has such an effect on some people that they are immediately able to believe in utterly nonsensical concepts. They are able to believe that man is a slightly more developed form of chimpanzee, that fish can emerge onto dry land and turn into terrestrial life forms when they so choose, that a cell can really form in muddy water and that dinosaurs managed to grow wings and turn into birds. That is because they have been so thoroughly indoctrinated, almost to the level of brainwashing. This constant indoctrination is worked into them by well-known professors, wielding incomprehensibly strange formulae and peculiar scientific terminology on the covers of world famous magazines.

The fact is that there is nothing “simple” about life at all. Everything set out



under this indoctrination is a lie. With all of its infrastructure and organization, a single cell is far more complex than a giant metropolis such as New York City. Despite much wide-ranging laboratory research over the last few years, only a very small part of this extraordinary structure has been clarified. But there is no way in which it can be replicated. Not one of the thousands of proteins in the cell can be artificially manufactured. Living things are literally works of art in terms of their complexity, sensitivity, symmetry, order, detail, equipment and systems. For that reason, the simplistic explanations offered by Darwinism's adherents are simply intended to deceive.

In relating all of this nonsense, Darwinists are of course well aware that there is nothing simple in life. They are of course well aware that not a single cell can spontaneously emerge from muddy water, let alone a complex, multi-cell organism, and that a fish can never emerge onto dry land and start flying. We must not forget that Darwinists relate these accounts for purposes of casting a psychological spell; a kind of hypnosis. Moreover, the Darwinists who so shamelessly do all this are quite unable to account for how even a single protein came into being. This represents a huge and absolute defeat for Darwinists right from the beginning. One must never forget this fact as one listen to Darwinist fairy tales.

In terms of their complexity, sensitivity, symmetry, order, details, equipment and systems, living things are literally works of art. These works of art indicate the existence of a sublime Artist, the Might of our Lord Allah. Darwinists are perfectly well aware that all entities are created works of art. But they are reluctant to abandon their ideology. That is the main reason why they seek to disseminate their utterly ridiculous claims, in the full knowledge of how fraudulent they are.

FALSE NEWS REPORTS

Our Cousin The Fishapod

An ancient fish with primitive fingers fills an evolutionary gap and shows Darwin's theory in action


The fossil is a fish with primitive fingers, filling an evolutionary gap and showing Darwin's theory in action. It is a fish with primitive fingers, filling an evolutionary gap and showing Darwin's theory in action.



The First Runner's High: Jogging Separated Humans from Apes

Research says 2000
Date: 14 November 2004 Time: 08:07 PM ET

<http://www.livescience.com/98-runner-high-jogging-separated-humans-apes.html>



Graphic: University of Arizona

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 2008

we evolved from fruit flies and pumpkins

<http://schansblog.blogspot.com/2008/09/we-evolved-from-fruit-flies-and.html>

Maybe these numbers are off, but I'm going to have some fun with them— along with genodetic references to chimp DNA and our supposed evolution from them.

So, drawing some amusing but probably inaccurate inferences from a [LiveScience article on monkey vs. human DNA](#)...

The new analysis of the rhesus monkey genome, conducted by an international consortium of more than 170 scientists, also reveals that humans and the macaques share about 93 percent of their DNA. By comparison, humans and chimpanzees share about 98 to 99 percent of their DNA.

The fact that rhesus monkeys are further away from humans in evolution will help illuminate what makes humans different from other apes in ways that chimps, which are so closely related to us, could not. Gibbs said, (Rhesus monkey ancestors diverged from those of humans roughly 25 million years ago, while chimpanzees diverged from our lineage 6 million years ago.)

-We diverged from our fruitfly ancestors about 25 million years ago-- and from our pumpkin ancestors about 60 million years ago.

-Rhesus monkeys diverged from fruitflies on a lazy weekend about 25 million years ago.

-The fact that we are further away from fruitflies than chimps explains why we are more like the latter. The fact that we are further away from pumpkins than fruitflies explains why we are more like the fruitflies than the fruit (or is it a vegetable!).

BBC NEWS WORLD EDITION

You are in: Science/Nature
Friday, 18 February, 2006, 18:14 GMT

Fruit fly gene success



Talking Point
We have much in common with the fruit fly
Scientists have unravelled virtually the entire genetic code of the fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*). The work will be enormously helpful in figuring out the more complex genome of humans.

SPECIAL REPORT
The scientists behind the collaborative effort are likely to publish their results next month - 18 months ahead of the initial schedule. The project

was discussed at the American Association for the Advancement of Science's (AAAS) annual meeting, currently taking place in Washington DC.

The researchers said they had deciphered more than 97% of the fly's genetic code and more than 99% of the actual genes. In all, it turns out that every fruit fly cell contains 13,601 genes. Human cells, by contrast, are thought to have more 70,000 genes.

The achievement is being widely hailed as a dramatic and important development in science - it is the most complex organism yet to have its genome decoded.

But while some believe it proves that the technology used to decode the genome is

I would still put money on the publicly-funded HGP to produce the biologically important information before Celera

Dr Peter Little, Imperial College

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/647139.stm>



Ancient human fossils show women much smaller

By Nicola
A study of ancient human fossils has revealed that women were much smaller than men in prehistoric times. The research, published in the journal *Journal of Human Evolution*, suggests that the size difference was not due to sexual dimorphism but to differences in diet and activity levels. The study examined the remains of 150 individuals from the Middle Stone Age, showing that women were on average 10% smaller than men. This finding challenges the long-held belief that larger body size was a result of sexual selection.



Science Briefs
Geneticist: Neanderthals were not a separate species
A geneticist has argued that Neanderthals were not a separate species from modern humans. The researcher, who analyzed DNA from Neanderthal remains, concluded that the two groups interbred and that Neanderthals were simply a subspecies of modern humans. This view is supported by the fact that Neanderthals and modern humans share a common ancestor and that there is significant genetic overlap between the two groups.



Foreign 'volunteers' help rebuild Sri Lanka

Foreign volunteers are helping to rebuild Sri Lanka after the conflict. The volunteers are working on various projects, including rebuilding homes and infrastructure. The aid is being provided by various international organizations and governments. The volunteers are also helping to provide medical care and education to the people of Sri Lanka. The aid is being provided in the form of money, supplies, and services. The volunteers are also helping to provide training and support to the people of Sri Lanka. The aid is being provided in the form of money, supplies, and services. The volunteers are also helping to provide training and support to the people of Sri Lanka.

YOU'RE SPOILED

Human brain result of 'extraordinary fast' evolution

Emergence of society may have spurred growth

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/science/2004/dec/29/evolution.science>

The sophistication of the human brain is not simply the result of steady evolution, according to new research. Instead, humans truly privileged animals with brains that have developed in a truly extraordinary fast evolution that is unique to the species. "Simply put, evolution has been working very hard to produce us humans," said Bruce Lahn, an assistant professor of human genetics at the University of Chicago and an investigator at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. "Our study offers the first genetic evidence that humans occupy a unique position in the tree of life." Professor Lahn's research, published this week in the journal *Cell*, suggests that humans evolved their cognitive abilities not only from a few sporadic and accidental genetic mutations - as is the usual way with traits in living things - but rather from an enormous number of mutations in a short period of time, acquired through an intense selection process favouring complex cognitive abilities. Evolutionary biologists generally argue that humans have evolved much the same way as all other life on Earth. Mutations in genes from one generation to the next sometimes give rise to new adaptations to a creature's environment. Those best adapted to their environment are more likely to survive and pass on their genes.

Human species 'may split in two'

Humanity may split into two sub-species in 100,000 years' time as predicted by HG Wells, an expert has said.

Evolutionary theorist Oliver Curry of the London School of Economics expects a genetic upper class and a dim-witted underclass to emerge.

The human race would peak in the year 3000, he said - before a decline due to dependence on technology.

People would become choosier about their sexual partners, causing humanity to divide into sub-species, he added.

The descendants of the genetic upper class would be tall, slim, healthy, attractive, intelligent, and creative and a far cry from the "underclass" hunkies who would have evolved into dim-



Humanity may split into an elite and an underclass, says Dr Curry

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/6057734.stm

'Missing link' fossil seal walked

By Richard Miles
Environment correspondent, BBC News website

It may look like a cross between a seal and an otter; but an Arctic fossil could, scientists say, hold the secret of seal evolution in its feet.

A skeleton unearthed in northern Canada shows a creature with feet that were probably webbed, but were not flippers.



<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8012322.stm>

walked on land and swam in fresh water. It is the oldest seal ancestor found so far and has been named *Puilla darwini*. *Puilla* is the term for "young sea mammal" in the Inuktitut language, spoken by Inuit groups in Devon Island where the fossil was found.

And the reference to Charles Darwin honours the famous biologist's contention that land mammals would naturally move into the marine environment via a fresh water stage, just as porpoises - fish, seals, walrus and whales - have apparently done.

Puilla is the evolutionary evidence we have been looking for so long

Mary Dawson Carnegie Museum of Natural History

"The find suggests that porpoises went through a fresh water phase in their evolution," said Natalia Rybcyzk from the Canadian Museum of Nature (CMNH) in Ottawa, who led the findwork.

"It also provides us with a glimpse of what porpoises looked like before they had flippers."

Flip side
The skeleton was about 65% complete, which enabled the researchers to reconstruct what the animal would have looked like in remarkable detail.

The legs suggest it would have walked upright on land, but the feet bones hint strongly at webbed feet. The fact that the remains were found in a former water lake that has also yielded fossil fish from the same period was additional evidence for a semi-aquatic past.

"The remarkably preserved skeleton of *Puilla* had heavy limbs, indicative of well-developed muscles, and flattened phalanges (finger or toe bones) which suggest that the feet were webbed - but not flippers," said Mary Dawson from the Carnegie Museum of Natural History in Pittsburgh, US, another of the scientists involved.

"The animal was likely adept at both swimming and walking on land. *Puilla* is the evolutionary evidence we have been looking for so long."



Darwinists want people to be ignorant and un-informed. They want to be able to mislead them with utterly nonsensical reports. They seek to condition them with the idea that imaginary formulae such as "time+chance+mud" are enough to account for the glorious variety and perfection in the living world. That is the terrible nonsense that Darwinism is. Headlines in the newspapers in question are their greatest weapon in spreading this foolish logic. They try to hypnotize people who are ignorant of the subject. It is largely through these false press reports that Darwinism has been able to maintain its dominion over the last 150 years.

***Secret backing is provided for the major press
so they will support Darwinism.***

Darwinists have been making propaganda through the press for 150 years and have used the press for all of their psychological conditioning techniques. If they didn't control such a major force as the press, it would be impossible for the Darwinist dictatorship to have established a false dominion across the world and for the nonsense of evolution to have found its way into institutions of state, schools and universities.

The first decision for Darwinism to be disseminated by the press, to make such a fantastical idea in some way believable, was taken in a senior masonic lodge made up of atheist freemasons in Darwin's time. Members of the 33rd Degree Mizraim Freemasonry Supreme Council in Paris announced the need for evolution to be supported by depicting it as science, but had no qualms about ridiculing the theory amongst themselves;

It is with this object in view that we are constantly by means of our press, arousing a blind confidence in these theories. The intellectuals... will puff themselves up with their knowledge and without any logical verification of them will put into effect all the information available from science, which our agentur specialists have cunningly pieced together for the purpose of educating their minds in the direction we want. Do not suppose for a moment that these statements are empty words: think carefully of the successes we arranged for Darwinism..."²



Thus it was that Darwinism spread to the masses of people in the light of this decision taken at a French lodge made up of atheist freemasons. Ever since then it has always been under the atheist Freemasons' protection. Atheist freemasons, who have established a global dominion and therefore possess a press army capable of shaping the world as they choose, can thus produce whatever reports they wish, raise

whichever subjects they choose, and thus manage people as they desire. This cunning policy of management continues to this day.

Successes in eliminating Darwinism make atheist masons very uneasy. At such times they immediately embark on an intensive policy of conditioning, as already described, through the press. The Darwinist press is immediately unleashed and a false fossil is immediately discovered. A Darwinist scientist is trotted out, and tall tales are written about this fossil. That is how the atheist freemasons' system works.

It is noteworthy as to why reports about Darwinism are supported by certain sections of the press. The press in question produces their reports solely in line with the instructions they receive from atheist freemasons, and not because of any scientific facts, data or information. This needs to be borne in mind in evaluating the false, global dominion of the Darwinist deception.

Under the control of atheist masons, the major press frequently carries reports emphasizing the nonsense that is evolution.



FALSE NEWS REPORTS

<http://www.cosmosmagazine.com/news/786/first-land-vertebrates-may-have-suffocated>

First land vertebrates may have suffocated

Cosmos Online

Tuesday, 24 October 2006

WASHINGTON: The first groups of animals to crawl from the sea may have been driven extinct by low oxygen levels, according to a new study.

Vertebrate creatures are thought to have first begun moving from the world's oceans to land about 415 million years ago. Then they all but disappeared by 360 million years ago. The fossil record contains few examples of animals with backbones for the next 15 million years, and then suddenly vertebrates show up again, this time for



The early dinosaurs survived...

Discovered: the missing link that solves a mystery of evolution

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/science/2006/apr/06/evolution.fossils>

Scientists have made one of the most important fossil finds in history: a missing link between fish and land animals, showing how creatures first walked out of the water and on to dry land more than 375m years ago.

Paleontologists have said that the find, a crocodile-like animal called the *Tatealaskia* and described today in the journal *Nature*, could become an icon of evolution in action - like *Archaeopteryx*, the famous fossil that bridged the gap between reptiles and birds.

As such, it will be a blow to proponents of intelligent design, who claim that the many gaps in the fossil record show evidence of some higher power.

Richard Dawkins, the evolutionary biologist, said: "Our emergence on to the land is one of the more significant rises of passage in our evolutionary history, and *Tatealaskia* is an important link in the chain."

vertebrate colonisation of land Gap, named for the Yale geologist, Alfred Romer, who first used the term. It has typically been defined as the time before the first vertebrates came on land. It has been little understood.

The Telegraph

Home News Sport Finance Comment Blogs Culture Travel Lifestyle Tech World Politics Climate Earth Science Politics Health News Education Sport Business

Missing link between man and apes found

A "missing link" between humans and their apelike ancestors has been discovered.

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/science/evolution/7550033/Missing-link-between-man-and-apes-found.html>

DISCOVERY Friday, August 10, 2007

The Press, 10 August 2007

How did we evolve?

A new fossil find in Kenya means a rewrite of the **evolution theory**. MARK HENDERSON reports.

The story of a critical phase in human evolution may have to be rewritten after the discovery of two remarkable fossils in Kenya that have shed new light on the origins and behaviour of two ancient relatives of Homo sapiens.

One of the fossils found near Lake Turkana has shown that two early human species thought to have evolved one from the other actually lived side by side for almost half a million years, following the most widely accepted version of humanity's family tree.

The discovery means that only one of the two species, known as *homo erectus*, can be a direct ancestor of modern human beings, and not both as was previously proposed.

While scientists are still confident that *Homo erectus*, the youngest of the two, ultimately gave rise to *Homo sapiens*, it is now suspected that the older *Homo*



PLUS CORIACES QUE LES DINOSAURES...

Science Vie, Jul 2003

Timeline markers: 400, 300, 200, 120, 100, 100,000, 40,000.



7 EVOLUTIONARY SCIENCE IS NOT PREDICTIVE

we cannot say exactly what they will look like in a billion years, but that does not mean evolutionary theory has no value.

Science, 2 April 2004

8 NATURAL SELECTION IS THE ONLY MEANS OF EVOLUTION

Most change is due to random genetic drift rather than positive selection. It could be called the survival of the luckiest!



New Scientist, 19 April 2008

Solving a mystery: The origin and evolution of man

Scientific American, May 2003

What do we know today about our origins and development as a species? Some of the world's most respected scientists and journalists examine the evidence, and reveal their findings and insights in *New Look at Human Evolution* from Scientific American.

From our likely origins in Africa... the many other hominid species who once shared our planet, our surprising relationship with Neanderthals, and our worldwide migration... to the development of language, diet, skin color and much more. You'll find it all in this special edition, compiled by the editors of Scientific American.

New Look at Human Evolution is not included with your regular subscription, and is certain to sell out quickly.

From Fins to Fingers

Janine R. Slack

How did our great-grandfather's fins become our fingers? The answer lies in the genetic code.

T. rex was 'chicken' -- ancestor, that is

By Randolph E. Schmid, Associated Press

WASHINGTON — It looks like chickens deserve their respect. Scientists are finally on the proof that today's turkey is descended from the mighty Tyrannosaurus rex.

And, not a surprise, they confirmed a close relationship between dinosaurs and elephants.

First studies have long suggested modern birds were descended from T. rex, based on similarities in their skeletons.

New, bits of protein obtained from connective tissues of chickens and apaches, according to a report in Friday's edition of the journal Science.

These results match predictions made from earlier anatomy, showing the long muscular evidence for a chicken, a postdoctoral researcher in biology at Harvard University said in a statement.

Co-author John M. Asara of Harvard reported last year that his team had been able to extract collagen from a T. rex, and that it most closely resembled the collagen of chickens.

SCIENCE'S REPORT: Protein Sequences from Mammals and Tyrannosaurus Rex Revealed by Mass Spectrometry

Ever since the time of Darwin, part of the major press has been given the task of disseminating Darwinist indoctrination. The Darwinists of the time were well aware that the theory of evolution would never be corroborated by any scientific evidence, but produced a Darwinist dictatorship as the result of systematic and organized activities and charged part of the major press with spreading the fraud. The press in question is still at work today. The only difference is that the Darwinist fraud they perpetrate has now been exposed.

They try to portray evolution as fact by suggesting that "We have managed to make artificial life".

There is a great deal of evidence refuting Darwinism. But the fundamental issue that demolishes the theory evolution is the beginning of life. As we have reiterated many times and insist on keeping on the agenda, Darwinists are unable to explain how even a single protein might have come into being by chance. This is where the theory of evolution falls apart.

In order to neutralize this terrible defeat, Darwinists again resort to their press monopoly. The most familiar means of deception on this subject are scenarios about artificial life. Reports in the publishing organs in question that appear from time to time along the lines of "We have made artificial blood," "We have made artificial evolution," "We have manufactured artificial DNA," "Synthetic life is on the way," or "An artificial cell has been manufactured" are part of these psychological defense mechanisms.

People who are uninformed on the subject may form the impression from such reports that the greatest obstacle in Darwinism's way, the question of the beginning of life, has been resolved. They naively assume that "today they have made a cell, so tomorrow they may build human beings." Indeed, the Darwinists' aim in producing such reports is to baffle people and numb their brains with this sinister hypnosis.


But there is a deception going on here. Nobody has ever, and can never come anywhere close to creating something out of nothing. That is impossible, unless Allah so wills it. What scientists are doing is to use already existing blood, DNA and cells and, most important of all, intelligence, and use these in laboratory research. Darwinists can descend to the deepest point possible if they so wish, right down to the level of the atom, but an intelligence has still been employed there and they will make use of already existing atoms. These results, the work

of thousands of scientists, technological laboratories, the expenditure of millions of dollars and countless experiments, have only been possible with enormous hard work and intelligence. In other words, intelligence is essential. But the result is still not evolution.


Taking nucleotides inside DNA and synthesizing a cell in a different way is a new procedure performed using intelligence within the existing system. It is a great success for science, and a major development that will hopefully lead the way for important advances in science and medicine; but it is very definitely not evolution. Evolution has collapsed right from the very beginning; the subject of the origin of life. The inability to account for the chance formation of even a single protein spells the end for all Darwinist claims.

Darwinists' deception techniques have always been rather wretched ones. That means it is very easy to decipher those techniques and counteract the conditioning involved. The quasi-magical effect that Darwinists have obtained using propaganda techniques for decades now can be demolished in a single move. There is no doubt that, as Allah has promised, victory will always go to the supporters of Allah. Our Almighty Lord says in one verse that:

**As for those who make Allah their
friend, and His Messenger and
those who believe: it is the party
of Allah who are victorious!
(Surat al-Ma'ida, 56)**



<http://www.dailytech.com/Scientist+Craig+Venter+Has+Created+Artificial+Life/article16619.htm>



Man can indeed create life, vitalism arguments laid to rest

Throughout the centuries vitalism remained the dominant philosophy. Many reasoned that there was something inherently unique to life, impossible to recreate. Modern science, however, has shown that the makeup of a living organism is nothing more than a complex mix of biochemicals.

Now a major scientific breakthrough has been made that may have profound impact on scientific research, and even how we view life itself. John Craig Venter, founder of the [The J. Craig Venter Institute](#), has, at last, achieved what he has been trying to do for over a decade -- [create artificial life](#).

The most basic definition of being alive, when it comes to bacteria is being able to sustain the biological process to survive and [reproduce](#). Neither is possible without DNA, the genetic material of living organisms.

Professor Venter began by trying to clone DNA from a bacterial species, with the hopes of eventually transplanting it into a receptive bacterial membrane and creating a viable cell. He started with trying to use *E. Coli* bacteria to clone incorporated DNA from *Mycoplasma mycoides* subspecies capri, a tiny bacteria. The *E. Coli* proved to not have the perfect cloning machinery, only able to replicate stretches of DNA up to a quarter of *M. mycoides*' total genome.


So Professor Venter turned to the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* -- interestingly, a eukaryote (*M. mycoides* is a eubacteria) -- to carry out the cloning. Using the yeast, complete 1.1-Megabase *M. mycoides* genomes were cloned and harvested.

The next challenge was implanting the harvested genome into a receptive bacterial membrane. As bacteria lack organelles, in a traditional sense, this membrane primarily served as protection and to provide the appropriate biochemical environment. It also offered specialized membrane environments needed for certain reactions, like respiration.

Preparing receptor organelles -- from *M.*



J. Craig Venter, a leading microbiology research has created artificial life. E (Source: ...)



The DNA for the new organism was cloned. The membrane was produced by a similar result is a viable, artificially created organism. (Source: Science)

'Artificial life' breakthrough announced by scientists

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/10132762>

By Victoria Gill
Science reporter, BBC News



The synthetic cell looks identical to the 'wild type'

Scientists in the US have succeeded in developing the first living cell to be controlled entirely by synthetic DNA.

The researchers constructed a bacterium's "genetic software" and transplanted it into a host cell.

The resulting microbe then looked and behaved like the species "dictated" by the synthetic DNA.

He and his colleagues had previously made a synthetic bacterial genome, and transplanted the genome of one bacterium into another.

Now, the scientists have put both methods together, to create what they call a "synthetic cell", although only its genome is truly synthetic.

GENOMICS Synthetic Genome Brings New Life to Bacterium

Elizabeth Pennisi

For 15 years, J. Craig Venter has chased a dream: to build a genome from scratch and use it to synthesize life. Now, he and his team at the J. Craig Venter Institute (JCVI) in Rockville, Maryland, San Diego, California, say they have realized that dream. In this week's *Journal Express*, [http://www.sciencemag.org/content/328/5981/958.full](#), they describe the process of creating a bacterial chromosome and the successful transfer of it into a bacterium, where it replaced its native DNA. Powered by the synthetic genome, that microbial cell began replicating and making its own set of proteins.

This is "a defining moment in the history of biology and biotechnology," says Mark Bedell, a philosopher at Reed College in Portland, Oregon, and editor of the scientific journal *Artificial Life*. "It represents an important technical milestone in the new field of synthetic genomics," says biologist Jeff Boeke of Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine in Baltimore, Maryland.

The synthetic genome created by Venter's team is almost identical to that of a natural bacterium, was achieved at great expense, an estimated \$40 million, and effort, 20 people working for 18 months. Despite this success, creating heavily customized genomes, such as ones that can produce drugs or biofuels, is still a long way off. The same way in a cell is not yet a reality. The same way in a cell is not yet a reality. The same way in a cell is not yet a reality.

<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/328/5981/958.full>


It is certainly a great feat of science to take structures already existing in a living thing and make an artificial "copy" under the supervision of conscious beings, under conscious conditions, as the result of years of laboratory research. But IT IS DEFINITELY NOT EVOLUTION. No DNA or protein has been created out of nothing, and no new information that did not exist before has been added to the system. Instead of using scientific advances that have nothing to do with evolution, Darwinists should first explain how a single protein might have come into being by chance. That is the great question that terrifies Darwinists and that they can never answer. Therefore, all the Darwinist speculation about artificial copies and clones is completely specious.

***They seek to portray chance as divine
(Surely Allah is beyond that)***

Darwinists make use of unbelievably illogical scenarios in order to deceive people. There are no boundaries to these scenarios; thousands of different life forms come from a single cell, reptiles suddenly take to the air from dry land and turn into birds, and worms gradually turn into human beings. According to the Darwinists, the power that causes these transitions to occur in this rather embarrassing scenario is "chance." This is how the Darwinists have deceived people for so many years.

Evolutionists have maintained this ever since Darwin's time, or even since the days of Samaria. The idea of chance is an embarrassment that represents the foundation of the theory of evolution and is a source of terrible shame for the Darwinists.

But following the exposure of the wretched, illogical and ludicrous nature of this claim, especially through the **Atlas of Creation**, Darwinists suddenly began speaking very differently. In order to avoid further embarrassing themselves by trying to defend this shameful claim, they adopted an even more illogical defense mechanism. Now, when Darwinists are asked, "Do you think that it was chance that created everything?" they reply, "We never said it was chance." Then when



asked, "If it was not chance, then what was it?" they adopt the highly irrational and infantile defense of referring to "... a process arising as the result of a number of coincidences." This is an explicit and clear sign of how invalid Darwinists' foundations really are.

We have already seen how Darwinists foolishly try to give the impression of providing scientific accounts by using scientific terminology and formulae in order to mislead people. They also try to portray the deceptive idea of chance as perfectly legitimate by using the same methods. The lie of a dinosaur growing wings and taking flight, so often repeated in Darwinist publications, is described with a frequent usage of Latin verbiage and terminology, thus giving the story a supposedly scientific veneer. Yet, in the fictitious process in question and this unbelievably ridiculous tale, there is no intelligence capable of making informed, conscious interventions, directing the course of events, choosing the best course by making logical deductions, making choices, making logical inferences and distinguishing the proper thing to do. Darwinism rejects the existence of such a consciousness. Everything in the myth of evolution happened unconsciously, unintelligently, as the result of blind chance. **Chance is the Darwinists' false god, one that works miracles, creates from nothing, makes no errors and is able to predict future events and take appropriate precautionary measures.**

Darwinists seek to portray this false god as a creative force, at least in their own eyes (surely Allah is beyond that). And by means of these cunning statements they try to turn people away from belief in Allah. The Darwinist magic spell, as it were, is the underlying reason why people gradually turn toward atheism, and why so many Darwinists are engaged in a merciless struggle against belief in Allah. Whether they are aware of it or not, believers in Darwinism fall under the hypnotic spell of the false idol, chance, and inevitably abandon belief in Allah.

They try to distract people with fine detail

Confusing details and ridiculous reports represent an important part of Darwinist psychological defense mechanisms. Inundating people with illogical details is one part of the Darwinist's hypnotic indoctrination technique. The real aim behind reports appearing in Darwinist publications is to make some people, who have little knowledge of the subject, forget that evolution is a fraud and thereby occupy their minds with exceedingly foolish questions, such as, "Are we more closely related to the potato or the worm?" Darwinists use illogical details for that purpose.

The true aim behind all of the utterly illogical reports in a Darwinist publication, such as "Are we related to kangaroos?", "We started to walk upright out of hunger", or "Hiccups are a legacy from the frog", is to distract people's attention. By positing evolution as though it were a proven fact, they strive to give the impression that the only remaining question is where

Kangaroo genes 'close to humans'

Scientists say first kangaroo gene map shows surprising similarities to humans
Last Modified: 18 Nov 2008 07:57 GMT

<http://english.aljazeera.net/news/asia-pacific/2008/11/200811187292180817.html>



Scientists say kangaroos and humans share a surprising degree of similarity in their genes [Reuters]. "There is great congruence right there in the kangaroo genome."

Kangaroos share genes with humans, scientists say. The conclusions come from the first ever full genetic map of a kangaroo. The scientists at the University of Queensland while there were a share many of the same genes as humans. "We thought they'd be different," said Jenny Graves. "The findings had taken us by surprise."

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/health/2730251.stm>

BBC NEWS WORLD EDITION
You are in: Health
Thursday, 6 February, 2003, 00:00 GMT
Why we hiccup
Can hiccups be linked to hogs?
Scientists believe they may be able to explain why people hiccup.
Researchers in France have suggested hiccups may be linked to evolution and that our ancient ancestors lived in the tropics. They believe it may be a throwback when our ancestors had to breathe through their noses.
The reason why humans hiccup has baffled scientists for hundreds of years, not because it is a nuisance because it seems to be so useful.
Alan Pack, University of Pennsylvania

FALSE NEWS REPORTS

hiccupping came from. People who fall under the intense influence of such reports, and who are inadequately informed on the subject can easily fall victim to such conditioning. Under the influence of these misleading reports, they imagine that just a few minor aspects of evolution still need to be explained and that they, too, will eventually be clarified. Darwinists thus perpetrate the mass deception they have engaged in for decades by way of this system. Darwinist indoctrination is successfully propagated by this false method.

Let us now remind ourselves that:

- ❖ **Darwinists are unable to explain how even a single protein might have formed by chance.**
- ❖ **They possess not one transitional fossil they can produce.**
- ❖ **More than 350 million fossils have demolished evolution.**
- ❖ **The complexity in living things has dealt Darwinism one of the heaviest blows, and revealed biological systems that cannot be explained in terms of evolution.**
- ❖ **All the fossils they have heralded have turned out to be false, or even deliberate fakes.**
- ❖ **Fossils show that living things appeared suddenly and remained unchanged for millions of years.**

These things are scientific evidence. Since Darwinists are unable to explain them, they produce extraordinarily ridiculous headlines instead because they are in a state of desperation. How else, apart from using fraud, could they defend a theory launched in the name of science, but in fact devoid of any scientific evidence? But they have now realized that these illogical headlines no longer have any impact. Even schoolchildren now expect Darwinists to be able to account for the formation of a single protein when they encounter such claims. So this particular deception has not been long-lived.

WEEKLY NEWS IDEAS INNOVATION THE BEST JOBS IN SCIENCE
NewScientist
 19 April 2008



THE BOOK THAT CHANGED MY LIFE
 by Michio Kaku


FIRE UNDER THE ICE
 WHY SPARKS AT THE SOUTH POLE
 COULD REVEAL SUPER-GALAXIES



New Scientist, 19 April 2008



New Scientist, 03 November 2007



Scientific American, August 2002

One of the best-known Darwinist propaganda tactics is to inundate the people they have indoctrinated with detail and to distract them from the real issue – the fact that evolution is a fraud – by means of false claims. Darwinists manufacture fictitious and ludicrous reports, giving the impression that everything about evolution has been proved and all that remains is detail such as hiccupping being inherited from such or such an animal or whether a whale turned into an elephant. It is easy to mislead uninformed people with such reports. The person in question will not then think of investigating whether there is any evidence that actually corroborates evolution. Because people swamped in detail are distracted from re-searching the true facts and remain under the Darwinist spell.

They try to use similarities as evidence

Since Darwinists know that the origin of life has obliterated their theory, that fossils have demolished evolution, and that mutations have no power in and of themselves to bring about evolution, they hide behind another deception instead, one that will have tremendous influence over people and also appear very logical to them; similarities between life forms!

Similarities between living things are constantly being raised by Darwinists as if they were evidence of evolution because some people are predisposed to believe in this deception. The Darwinists speak of the similarity between man and apes with such an air of its being absolute proof that when people exposed to such conditioning go to a zoo, or watch a documentary about apes, they draw the appropriate conclusions. They have been so affected by this Darwinist deception they began to foolishly imagine that apes could easily turn into human beings "... if they developed a bit, shaved and ate better." A few generalized similarities prepare the way for the spread of Darwinist conditioning.

The fact is, however, that no matter how much an ape resembles a man it will never, by Allah's leave, for all its time on this Earth, be anything more than an ape. No matter how much or how well it is trained, it will never become human. No matter what it does it will never, unless Allah chooses otherwise, possess a "soul" that makes a human being human.

It is the "soul" that makes human beings human. A living thing is only human so long as he possesses a soul. Man is an entity capable of saying, "I am me," with the sufficient awareness to realize that there is an image that forms in his brain and that he watches. No matter what human beings may look like physically, they are human so long as they possess a soul. This is the great truth that separates them from all other living things and the Darwinists try to cover that fact up. But the theory of evolution is dealt one of the heaviest blows of all by the definite ex-

istence of the soul. For that reason, Darwinists are careful to try to avoid this matter being raised at all. Similarities between life forms constitute no evidence for evolution whatsoever. Of course life forms will resemble one another. They all breathe the same air on the same planet. They are all made up of compounds of the same elements, eat the same foods and share the same habitats. All living things have been equipped with similarly glorious molecular systems. Every system has been brought into being in such a way as to establish a perfect harmony within the conditions on this world, with their strictly defined boundaries. Therefore, these similarities are evidence not of evolution, but of the creative artistry of Almighty Allah, Who says in one verse:

It is Allah Who created the seven heavens and of the earth the same number, the Command descending down through all of them, so that you might know that Allah has power over all things and that Allah encompasses all things in His knowledge. (Surat at-Talaq, 12)

It is the "soul" that makes a living thing human, not its external appearance. Darwinists seek to cover that fact up. Yet the fact that human beings possess a soul is one of the greatest dilemmas facing Darwinists and does away with all their claims about the supposed evolution of man.




FALSE NEWS REPORTS

Language Evolution and Social Strata


Science, 28 May 2004

Language of a people. The caps "poor" and "rich" in fact, describing the Norman script and the Old English.

1315-1335), one of the topics was the divergence in evolutionary rates among different languages. The references to the work of Partha Niyogi and Robert Berwick (1) in the article "From hoarfrost to heavens" (News, Y. Bhattacharjee, p. 1326) were of particular interest. Overlooked, however, were the influences of social and economic stratification (including mobility) on such evolutionary rates. Most of what we know of older language forms comes from the



19 September 2006



New Scientist, 8 March 2008

Chimps, Humans 96 Percent the Same, Gene Study Finds

http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2005/08/0831_050831_chimp_genes.html

Humans are 96 percent similar to the great ape species. "Darwin wasn't just provocative in saying that we descend from the apes—he didn't go far enough," said Frans de Waal, a primate scientist at Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia. "We are apes in every way, from our long arms and tailless bodies to our habits and temperament."

Print Friendly
Email to a Friend
SHARE
Digg
StumbleUpon

Because chimpanzees are our closest living relatives, the chimp genome is the most useful key to understanding human biology and evolution, next to the human genome itself. The breakthrough will aid scientists in their mission to learn what sets us apart from other animals.

By comparing human and chimpanzee genomes, the researchers have identified several sequences of genetic code that differ between human and chimp. These sequences



First Words

When a child says its first word, it marks a milestone in language development. But how does a child learn to speak? The answer lies in the brain, where a complex network of neurons works together to produce speech.



The First Language?

Researchers are trying to determine if there was a common ancestor for all languages. Some believe that all languages evolved from a single source, while others think that languages developed independently in different parts of the world.



Science, 24 Feb 2004

Chimps Shown Using Not Just a Tool but a "Tool Kit"

Bijal Trivedi
for National Geographic News
October 6, 2004

Anyone who has tried to replace a punctured tire or fix a knows the importance of having the right tool for the job. Turns out, are also very particular about their tool choice. It comes to digging into termite mounds to get a tasty snack.

Using infrared, motion-triggered video cameras, researchers have shown chimpanzees in the Goulougo Triangle using a variety of tools to dig for termites.



Little lady of Flores forces rethink of human evolution

Scientists have discovered a fossilized skull of a young hominid, possibly a child, in the Indonesian island of Flores. The skull is remarkably small, with a brain capacity of only 380 cc, suggesting it may be a new species or a very young individual of an existing species.

LATEST PHOTO NEWS SPACE PHOTOS THIS WEEK: Tiny Nature, 28 October 2004

Novartis goes public with DNA data in bid to tackle diabetes

The pharmaceutical company Novartis has released a new public genome partnership that will require it to share a portion of genetic data to the public domain. The data will be used to identify genetic variants that are associated with diabetes, which will be made available to researchers worldwide.

www.guardian.co.uk

guardian.co.uk
Life World Environment Web Video Arts & Culture
Home Science Arts & Culture Health Business Technology Science & Environment

science

Science

Evolution

More students believe Darwin got it wrong

Royal Society challenges Darwin's 'irreducible complexity'

Creationism fight rises at universities

More students believe Darwin got it wrong

Royal Society challenges Darwin's 'irreducible complexity'

Creationism fight rises at universities

Academics fight rise of creationism at universities

More students believe Darwin got it wrong. Royal Society challenges Darwin's 'irreducible complexity'. Creationism fight rises at universities. A growing number of science students on British campuses and in sixth form colleges are challenging the theory of evolution and arguing that Darwin was wrong. Some are being 'taught' in university exams because they are in one such form. A survey in London found that 10% of students are now thought to be creationists.

Christian Education on Trial

Schools Allege the University of California Admissions Policy Discriminates Against Their Religious-Based Curricula

Schools, including California, that the admissions policy of the University of California discriminates against their religious-based curricula. The case could set a precedent for other states to follow.

Washington Post, 13 October 2007

Evolution

More students believe Darwin got it wrong

Royal Society challenges Darwin's 'irreducible complexity'

Creationism fight rises at universities

More students believe Darwin got it wrong

Royal Society challenges Darwin's 'irreducible complexity'

Creationism fight rises at universities

The fact that a chimpanzee can make tools, laugh like a human when tickled or show affection toward its young is no evidence that it is "in the process of becoming human." Physical similarities can never be proof of evolution. Even a difference of 0.001% between two life forms is a gigantic molecular gulf that Darwinists can never bridge. But even if a chimpanzee was identical to a human being in physical appearance, it could still never be human because it has no soul. Therefore, Darwinist propaganda, examples of which can be seen in these captions, are a gigantic fraud.



No academic staff member in any university in the world questions Darwinism.

They apply a comprehensive policy of intimidation against those who deny evolution

Darwinism is propped up by what can only be described as despotism, imposition, coercion, and fraud. The only reason why Darwinism has occupied the agenda for so many years is the Darwinist dictatorship, a system that rules the world. Darwinism has been imposed by this dictatorial regime and been accepted by the power of compulsion. Darwinist indoctrination has spread in ways that people are unaware of, and vast masses of people have fallen under its sway. As a result of the sly tactics employed by this vile dictatorship, Darwinism has quite literally become undeniable across the world.

Darwinism currently enjoys a vast level of protection from the various states of the world. It is supported by official institutions in a great many countries and even forcibly imposed. Those who oppose the spurious religion that is Darwinism are silenced by the most despotic methods. There are hundreds of examples of this. This policy of intimidation, in which professors and scientists have been removed from their posts for criticizing the theory of evolution, has been fully exposed in recent years. Students are unable to progress from one year to another, and people in institutions of state have had their careers ruined. Universities have become part of a dictatorial regime in which only a Darwinist education is given and acceptable.

People are prevented from rejecting Darwinism, because otherwise the adherents of the religion of Darwinism would have no other way of propping this groundless theory up. A lie is spoken very loudly, and to expose it as a lie is regarded as a crime. A theory heralded as scientific, yet having nothing whatsoever to do with science, has become a superstitious and dogmatic belief officially and openly protected against rejection. But the most striking thing is that this is done so flagrantly, with no attempt at concealment at all.

That is why nonsense such as, “Darwinism is supported all over the world” is completely meaningless and no attention should be paid to it. The systematic and cunning activities of the Darwinist dictatorship make such support obligatory. Some people have to appear to agree with this false theory in order not to lose their jobs or careers, while students are compelled to give answers supporting evolution in order to pass their exams. The greatest intellectual tyranny in history is enforced worldwide by the Darwinist dictatorship.



Professor Robert J. Marks II
Credentia
• Professor of Mathematics, Baylor University
• Author of over 100 journal publications, 10 books and 100+ TV programs
• Creator of *Answers in Genesis*



Dr. Michael Egnor
Credentia
• M.D., Columbia University
• Co-Founder, New England Pediatric Neurology
• Professor, State University of New York Stony Brook



Dr. Caroline Crocker
Credentia
• M.D., Pennsylvania State University, University of Kentucky, U.S.A.



Dr. Guillermo Gonzalez
Credentia
• Ph.D. in Astronomy, University of Washington
• Assistant Professor of Astronomy, Santa Clara University



Dr. Richard Von Sternberg
Credentia
• Ph.D., Biology, Oklahoma State University
• M.S., Systems Science, Oklahoma State University
• Assistant Professor, State University of Pennsylvania
• Author of *Answers in Genesis*



Just a few of the professors and scientists who have lost their jobs for questioning the theory of evolution.

**REPORTS OF THE DARWINIST
DICTATORSHIP**

guardian.co.uk

News Sport Comment Culture Business Money Life & style

News Science Evolution

Religious right fights science for the heart of America

Creationists take their challenge to evolution theory into the classroom

By [John Horgan](#) in Kansas City
Friday 7 February 2005 09:40 GMT

...spent the better part of his life in rooms filled with...
...nagers, but the last years have been particularly trying for...
...of biology teacher. He has met parents who want him to...
...od created Eve out of Adam's rib, and then then adjusted...
...comes to make her a woman, and who insist that Noah...
...saurus aboard the ark. And it is getting more difficult to keep...
...st of the classroom.

...re along the line, the students have been told the theory of...
...is not valid," he said. "In the last few years, I've had students...
...my teaching about cell classification and genetics, and there...
...h a number of comments from students saying: 'Didn't God do...
...Kansas, the geographical centre of America, the heart of the...
...heartland, the state-approved answer might soon be Yes. In...
...ing weeks, state educators will decide on proposed curriculum...
...s for high school science put forward by subscribers to the notion...
...igent design", a modern version of creationism. If the religious...
...es its way, and it is a powerful force in Kansas, high school...
...teachers could be teaching creationist material by next...
...systems in America's modern-day revolt

THE HONG KONG BAPTIST UNIVERSITY
All-round Achievers with Creativity!

HOME CONTACT COMMENT NEWS CULTURE RANKINGS EXTRAS

<http://www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/story.asp?storycode=405909>

Row flares up over Turkey's 'censorship' of Darwin

By [John Gille](#)

Government denies threat to academic freedom as editor is asked over evolution plans,
an embarrassing row over academic freedom.

It has come under sustained fire after the editor of a state-run scientific journal, *Bilim ve Teknik*, was apparently asked for planning a front page story on Charles Darwin and evolution.

According to *Clifton Anderson*, the editor of the journal, the story was pulled on the orders of the Scientific and Technical Research Council (TUBITAK), which runs *Bilim ve Teknik*.

She said that she was asked by Osman Celik, the director of the journal, to remove the article from the journal.

Church makes 'ludicrous' apology to Charles Darwin - 126 years after his death


By [JONATHAN PETRE](#)

Last updated at 10:45 PM on 13th September 2008

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1055597/Church-makes--8216-ludicrous-8217-apology-Charles-Darwin--126-years-death.html>

In a bizarre step, the Church has addressed its common prayer to the unknown scientist himself, even though he died 126 years ago.

But the move was greeted with derision last night, with Darwin's great-great-grandson dismissing it as "pointless" and other critics branding it "laugh-out."



A meeting of minds: Charles Darwin and, right, the Archbishop of Canterbury, Rowan Williams

DISCOVER

Health & Medicine Mind & Brain Technology Space Human Origins Living World Environment




80beats

• NASA Considered Spectral Line State Plant to Power Laser Output
• China Earthquake Increased Stress on Other Faults

Anglican Church Owes Darwin an Apology, Senior Clergyman Says

By [Jonathan Petre](#)

Last updated at 10:45 PM on 13th September 2008



Almost 200 years since Charles Darwin published his ideas in *The Origin of Species*, a senior Anglican clergyman has written that the Church of England owes Darwin an apology for misrepresenting his theories of evolution. The church official, Reverend Malcolm Brown, was writing Monday on a church Web site launched to mark Darwin's bicentenary next year. The Church of England says his statement reflects its position but does not constitute an official apology (AP).

The apology may be a bit late, but Brown wrote that it's both relevant and necessary, as other religious groups continue to deny for misunderstanding you said, by getting our first reaction wrong, encouraging others to misunderstand you still," he wrote on the Church of England website. "We try to practice the old virtues of seeking understanding and hope that makes some sense" (The Independent).

Darwin first published his theories, the Anglican Church reacted with outrage and banishment over the fact that modern humans had evolved from beasts, and had not been created by God in their divine form. One of the most notorious clashes over his ideas took place in 1881 during a debate at Downside Abbey, the English Catholic seminary in the town of Glastonbury, Somerset.

<http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/80beats/2008/09/15/anglican-church-owes-darwin-an-apology-senior-clergyman-says/>

Time, 30 April 2001



New Scientist, 19 April 2008

1 EVERYTHING IS AN ADAPTATION

Evolutionary biologists have long argued that all traits and behaviors are the result of natural selection. But now, some scientists are questioning this view.

2 EVOLUTION CAN'T BE DEPROVED

There are all sorts of biological and environmental factors that could have led to the extinction of dinosaurs. But one theory is that they were killed by a single meteorite.



German minister caught in creationism row

DEREK SCALLY IN BERLIN

A German minister for culture and education has come under fire for suggesting that the biblical story of **creationism** should be discussed in school science classes.

The suggestion by Karin Wolff, culture minister in the western state of Hesse, appears to call into question the separation of church and state in German schools and has caused alarm among left-wing politicians.

They are wary of the "creationist" teachings of some evangelical Christians in the US, in particular the idea of "intelligent design" that many life forms have elements too complex to be explained by the random process

of evolution, proposed by Charles Darwin in 1859, and most instead have had a creator.

Ms Wolff, a theologian and former religion teacher, told the *Frankfurter Allgemeine* newspaper that she saw "no contradiction between biological evolution and the biblical explanation for origin of the world."

"In fact there is an extraordinary overlap between the Bible's explanation of the seven days of **creationism** and scientific theory," she said.

Social Democrat and Green politicians have attacked Ms Wolff as a "Christian fundamentalist" while the Catholic Bishops' Conference said that biology class was not the right forum for **creationism**.

School teachers and scientists have dismissed her suggestions as unhelpful.

"One has to engage intensively with scientific theory to explore competently the barriers of scientific knowledge," said Dr Ulrich Kutschera, an evolutionary biologist.

Ms Wolff has since claimed she was misinterpreted and that she favours discussing the limits of science in biology class "to make students vigilant against anti-scientific ideas of creationists".

She has received the backing of several conservative German bishops and the theologian Hans Kling. He told German radio that biology classes must retain their evolutionary theory basis.

"But if a question

what existed before the big bang, that is a question where all sensible scientists reach their limits and where questions of belief begin. Immanuel Kant said that," he said.

"To dismiss as nonsense the question of God and **creationism** is a dogmatic claim that is completely unacceptable... and one that only helps the fundamentalists. One has to differentiate between science and belief."

Pope Benedict has firmly rejected speculation that he favoured intelligent design, saying last year that researching the origins of life is an interplay between faith and science. However, he also criticised evolutionary theory as "not a complete

Irish Times, 21 July 2007

The Darwinist dictatorship has taken over the whole world. Since it is imposed by that dictatorship, evolution is protected under national laws in all countries of the world. It is almost impossible to oppose the theory of evolution or question its validity. Those who do question it are immediately identified and silenced. Mass pressure is brought to be through the press under the control of the Darwinist dictatorship, public opinion is set in motion and a huge deterrent campaign is set in motion against the person or body questioning evolution. Fear is the sole reason why professors continue teaching in universities, a conference was held in the Vatican, the home of the Pope, and people have issued apologies to Darwin. The theory of evolution, the worst fraud of the last 150 years, spread across the whole world and its hypnotic effect was cast over vast masses of people through these techniques employed by the Darwinist dictatorship.

They condition people with the lie that vast masses of people believe in evolution

When one grasps the scale of the dictatorial Darwinist regime one can better understand why it is that evolution appears to be supported all over the world. Some people generally believe in the legitimacy of whatever the majority happens to believe in. They want to believe that the majority is right. The fact is, however, as revealed in the Qur'an in the words, "**If you obeyed most of those on earth, they would misguide you from Allah's Way...**" (Surat al-An'am, 116), that the majority are generally subject to a mass conditioning that turns them away from Allah's path. The important thing is to heed the Qur'an and one's conscience, rather than the majority. It was the mindset of the majority that led to the mass slaughters of the First and Second World Wars, this sociodynamic critical mass that led to the atrocities perpetrated by Hitler's Germany and Mussolini's Italy, and that shed so much blood in communist Russia. This twisted perspective, deeply rooted in Darwinist ideology, was responsible for these world wars and the resulting deaths

The scourges inflicted on mankind by cruel philosophical movements of Darwinist origins have led to the deaths of tens of millions of innocent people.





of more than 200 million people. This majority culture, this group-think, is one of the most deceptive and dangerous elements in the arsenal of the Darwinist dictatorship.

But how have the majority been brought to believe in Darwinism despite all its illogicality? The reasons are these:

- a) Darwinism is a superstitious belief system methodically organized by atheist masons of the time. All its development from the outset to the present day has taken place within this highly organized plan.
- b) Atheist masons have quietly, but profoundly, disseminated the idea of evolution, despite its initially attracting much criticism, by means of certain press organizations which it monopolizes and thus created a pervasive indoctrinating effect similar to mass hypnosis.
- c) The impression that evolution is true has been given by means of newspapers, magazines, television stations and other media organs under the control of atheist masons. That conditioning is still continuing.
- d) In order to thoroughly indoctrinate people, they have developed visual conditioning techniques involving false illustrations, reconstructions, and false pictures and drawings.
- e) Despite being the worst fraud in history, they have placed evolution in school curricula as if it were scientific fact.
- f) The Darwinist dictatorship, under the control of atheist masons, has placed evolution under global protection and various administrations have personally undertaken that task. Opposition to evolution has literally become regarded as equivalent to high treason, and individuals, schools and managements have all been taken to court for that reason.
- g) Opponents of evolution have been intimidated, silenced and removed from their posts. It has become all but impossible to object to evolution.

h) Although science completely refutes evolution, they have declared that believers in evolution are scientific while its opponents are unscientific, and as a result of this conditioning people who reject evolution have been alienated from society.

As we have seen, Darwinism enjoys support, not because it is scientific, but because it is inculcated by means of imposition and coercion, and protected across the world by the Darwinist dictatorship. The fact that the majority support this false theory must not and should not deceive people; some of the people are unaware that Darwinism is the greatest fraud that has ever been, while others appear to support Darwinism only out of fear of losing their jobs or attracting criticism from people around them.

There are of course some people – albeit a small minority – who do honestly adopt Darwinism as an ideology, a false religion. As Allah reveals in the Qur'an, these people have been created to deny Allah and side with superstition. In the Qur'an, Allah tells us that these people will never believe, even if they were to witness genuine miracles. The existence of such people must not, therefore, deceive anyone. Almighty Allah describes these people as follows in the Qur'an:

Even if We sent down angels to them, and the dead spoke to them, and We gathered together everything in front of them right before their eyes, they would still not believe unless Allah willed. The truth is that most of them are ignorant. (Surat al-An'am, 111)

These people, explicitly described in the Qur'an, are in fact well aware of their wrongdoing. They realize that Darwinism is false and wrong, and that it is Almighty Allah who created them and all things. But even though they accept what the Qur'an says in their hearts, they still object and deny it all because of their pride. In another verse, Almighty Allah says:

And they repudiated them wrongly and haughtily, in spite of their own certainty about them. See the final fate of the corrupters. (Surat an-Naml, 14)

They try not to lose supporters by threatening them with exclusion from society

Darwinism has been comprehensively defended by the Darwinist dictatorship for the last 150 years, and is protected by law in a number of countries. Those who subscribe to the theory are praised, while those who reject it are ridiculed or sacked. The theory is also taught on a compulsory basis in schools. For these reasons, it is not at all a simple matter to openly state that one rejects Darwinism in such a climate. Such people are immediately excluded and removed by others, under the powerful impact of Darwinist conditioning. This is one of the most important psychological deterrent methods employed by the Darwinist dictatorship in order to hold onto its adherents.

It would be a very significant event for someone who has spent a lifetime specializing in evolution, and maybe even become a professor, to one day announce that he rejects Darwinism and has seen the invalidity of evolution. If a professor announces that he now rejects evolution, he is well aware that his whole career has essentially come to an end, that he will be removed from his post in the university, that the great majority of his friends, largely made up of Darwinists, will swiftly turn their backs on him, and that he will also be subjected to intense negative propaganda. That is how it has always been. Professors we have already cited have lost their jobs, friends, and colleagues for that very reason. Unpleasant defamation campaigns have been set in motion on the internet about some of them in order to prevent them finding work again, while others have been declared to be “intellectual terrorists” by employers.

Rejecting the theory of evolution brings with it major life changes for someone who has spent years as an evolutionist and may even have built a career on it. For such a person, all the ideological scourges that Darwinism brings with it are invalidated in a moment. Communism, fascism, atheism, and many other such perverse beliefs all be-

come instantly worthless. For example, from the moment a satanist sees the invalidity of Darwinism, being a satanist will be meaningless, as satanism is a false religion based entirely on elitism and social Darwinism. The moment a terrorist capable of ruthlessly murdering other people realizes the invalidity of Darwinism, he will also realize how illogical and incompatible with good conscience his actions were. The perverse ideological foundation that justified killing suddenly vanishes in a puff of smoke. For that reason, rejecting Darwinism changes the whole perspective, values, and lives of people who based their lives on that perverse ideology.

This policy of exclusion against those who reject Darwinism is perhaps the most effective psychological weapon to which Darwinists resort. Some people who believe it will be too difficult for them to overcome that policy of exclusion have had to appear to be evolutionists, despite not truly believing in it. There are a great many people who have had to appear to eagerly espouse evolution when evolutionists are present, when holding conferences or writing books and articles about it, despite clearly seeing its illogicality. There has been a particular rise in recent years in the number of people who clearly see the existence of Allah, but who are unable to express that thought in the presence of their atheist friends.

This policy of intimidation must not alarm the people in question because the supposed dominion of the Darwinist dictatorship is temporary and weak. As Allah has promised, superstition will certainly disappear and the truth will be victorious. What needs to be known is that Allah is always alongside those who genuinely turn to Him, who heed their consciences and who are prepared to risk social exclusion by espousing what is right and true. It is the friends of Allah who will inevitably be victorious. Our Almighty Lord reveals in a verse that:

If Allah helps you, no one can vanquish you. If He forsakes you, who can help you after that? So the believers should put their trust in Allah. (Surah Al 'Imran, 160)

REPORTS OF THE DARWINIST DICTATORSHIP

nature news

<http://www.nature.com/news/2009/090310/full/news.2009.150.html>

Updated online: 18 March 2009
Turkish scientists claim Darwin censorship
 Science-funding agency accused of removing evolution article — and its editor — from mainstream magazine.

The main Turkish government agency responsible for funding science has provoked outrage by apparently censoring a magazine article on the life and work of Charles Darwin.

The article was stripped from the March issue of widely read popular-science magazine *Bilim ve Teknik* (Science and Technology) just before it went to press. The journal, which is funded by Turkey's research funding and science management, TÜBİTAK, had previously published a planned portrait of Darwin for an interview with a Turkish scientist.



Hürriyet Daily News

<http://www.hurriyet.com.tr/english/domestic/11189047.asp?scr=1>

Domestic
Premier science council slammed for Darwin censor

ANKARA - After suppressing an article about evolution, Turkey's primary science and technology institution became the target yesterday for high-level state officials who disapprove of the censorship.

Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey, or TÜBİTAK's, "Science and Technology" magazine canceled a story on Charles Darwin, founder of the evolutionary theory, at the last minute earlier this month. Parliament speaker Köksal Toptan warned TÜBİTAK to be more careful, and said suppressing the Darwin issue was the wrong decision.

A group of academics from the University Councils Association protested the "Darwin scandal" in front of TÜBİTAK headquarters yesterday. "Stop the enemies of science," page story on Charles Darwin and the evolutionary theory, which was prepared for the anniversary of the publication of "On the Origin of Species" (Nov. 24, 1859) and Charles Darwin's birth (Feb. 12, 1809).

"Censorship did not refuse the claims," said the group. Science and Technology's list of Darwin's books on Darwin. The journal published a story on Darwin in Turkish said. The magazine's chief editor, Çigdem Akkumru, who prepared the article, was removed from her post by Çebeci.



Evrimi sorgulayan bakan görevden alındı
 Minister who questioned evolution removed from post
 HaberTurk, 26.02.2011

TURKISH WEEKLY

Striking Protest by METU to the TUBITAK regarding the Darwin

<http://www.turkishweekly.net/news/67115/striking-protest-by-metu-to-the-tubitak-regarding-the-darwin-censor.html>

The past few days of Turkish social and political life have been dominated by the discussion on TÜBİTAK's (the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey— for the funding of research and science management) replacement of the cover of its magazine (Bilim ve Teknik- Science and Technology), a picture of Darwin, with a picture that was related to global warming. TÜBİTAK's move has been widely criticized by large part of the society including Turkish intellectuals, academics and students as being demolishing of science understanding.

Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan and members of his Justice and Development Party (AKP) have pledged their commitment to secularism and democracy. Besides, Parliament Speaker Köksal Toptan, a former member of Erdogan's AKP, expressed additional disapproval of the council's intervention.



Sürel başına göre, Sürel Eğitim Bakanı Gülsen Sarı, Eğitim Bakanlığının Bilim Bölümü Başkanı Dr. Ganiyel Aytül, evrim ve küresel ısınmayla ilgili yazılı ve sözlü açıklamaları nedeniyle görevinden uzaklaştırıldı.

2009 yıl sonunda bu görevi atanmış belediye başkanı Dr. Aytül, Darwin'in evrim teorisiyle bağlantılı sorularına ve Türkiye'deki "yaratılış" inancı gibi, Darwinizm'in de eleştirilerek bakılacağı inancını açıkladığı için görevinden uzaklaştırıldı.



Protests to TRT, Enemy of Darwin
 Birgün, 4 December 2009

Darwin düşmanı TRT'ye protesto

NEŞE Ankara Şehitler Plaza'sında, "bilimsel sorumlulukları yerine getiremeyen TRT'yi protesto ettik. Önceki hafta TRT Genel Müdürlüğü binde toplantı KESK'lılar basın açıklaması düzenledi. Açıklamada TRT'nin "Darwin ve evrim" konusundaki yanlış ve yanlış bilimsel bilgileri yayarak ve kültürü küçümseyerek, kültür, bilim, bilimsel gerçeklere ne kadar düşman olduğunu bir kez daha göstermiş ve kültür düşmanı olduğunu bir kez daha göstermiştir" denildi. Bu arada Üniversite Konseyi'nin Derneği de, aynı görüşte TRT'yi protesto etti.

TRT'NİN HABERİ
 Geçtiğimiz hafta TRT 1'deki Haber Döğme programında "Darwin'in bilimsel bilgi" başlığıyla

They try to give the impression that large numbers of people support evolution by arranging false polls and statistics

When asked for scientific evidence, Darwinists generally reply as follows: "But evolution is regarded as fact all over the world!" When they say that, Darwinists imagine that all the questions hanging over evolution somehow disappear, that people will be satisfied with that reply, and that by saying this it will be enough to ensure acceptance of Darwinism. Indeed, this method of psychological conditioning has had a huge effect on some people who attach little importance to the fact that the theory of evolution is not backed up by any scientific evidence and who regard general opinion as more significant.

That is why the Darwinist dictatorship tries every possible means to give the impression that evolution is accepted all over the world. One method is to organize false surveys and statistics, and then use these polls to show that large numbers of people believe in evolution, and then publish their survey results to loud fanfare in the press organs under the control of the Darwinist dictatorship.

Someone looking at the results of the polls in question may well think that only a very few people reject evolution, particularly in countries such as the United States and Great Britain. Under the influence of the mass psychology we have already discussed, they may imagine that this false majority believing in evolution is on the true path. But this is a deception. In fact, no such poll has ever been held. These results are fraudulent figures invented for the purpose of being announced in press organs under the control of the Darwinist dictatorship. As always, Darwinists deceive people, this time by these fake polls and figures.

Polls have been conducted in many countries and people have been genuinely asked what they think; but the results obtained are the exact opposite of what the Darwinists claim. People actually reject evo-

***They claim to not to be “bothered”
by anti-Darwinist activities***

One of the most terrifying things for a publishing group spreading Darwinist propaganda with all of its might is without doubt the success of anti-Darwinist activities. Books, articles, exhibitions and conferences setting out the proofs of the fact of creation are enjoying a worldwide success which represents a grave threat for these Darwinists. The publishing groups in question makes no mention of this success, the work of large numbers of people. They generally prefer to appear to attach no importance to that success and say, “It does not bother us at all.”

But in truth, the Darwinists are in a state of panic. Conferences around the world describe how Darwinists are unable to account for even a single protein and exhibit fossils that totally refute evolution, while molecular biology utterly eliminates the possibility of evolution. Thousands of people listen to this information with great amazement. This information is also passed on by word of mouth to hundreds of thousands more. People who see this evidence can no longer believe in Darwinism. Therefore, saying “.....we are not bothered” is a defense mechanism intended to neutralize this huge defeat and cover it up.

This is actually a very childish reaction, much like the way that a small child, having been punished by his father, will try to give the impression he is unaffected by saying, “I don’t care,” Darwinist publications exhibit the very same reaction. But their trickery is being exposed and the theory of evolution they espouse with such fervid desperation is collapsing before everyone’s eyes. It is therefore impossible for Darwinists to be unaffected by that collapse; of course they will be affected, and terrified by it.

Darwinists’ terror will grow still further, because all the scientific evidence is increasingly showing the fact of Creation. The corrupt

The collapse of the theory of evolution and the fact of Creation conference series

Switzerland



France – Paris



Germany



More than 200 conferences on the collapse of the theory of evolution held around the world and attended by large numbers of people have greatly upset Darwinists. This great success has terrified them. The use of the term "... it does not bother us" is intended to neutralize and help them cope with that enormous defeat.


hegemony of the Darwinist dictatorship is coming to an end. This age will be one that manifests our Almighty Lord's title of the Hadi (the Bestower of guidance), an age of faith. Every superstitious faith launched in opposition to belief in Allah will inevitably vanish. Almighty Allah says in one verse:

Say: 'Truth has come and falsehood has vanished. Falsehood is always bound to vanish.' (Surat al-'Isra, 81)

FALSE NEWS REPORTS

Scientists come face to face with 2 million-year-old 'missing link'

By Nigel Mead
 THE 2 million-year-old fossil, discovered by an international team including researchers from three Australian universities, "is an absolutely staggering find," said team member and dating expert, Andy Huxley, of the University of NSW.
 Paul Dirks, of James Cook University, a co-leader of the project, said his team's research suggests the female, who was in her late 20s or early 30s, had fallen into the cave about the same time as the



was identified "as if throwing into quick-witted conjecture."
 Professor Berger said it was likely the two knew each other in life and could have been related.
 About 130 caves and 20,000 deposits had been identified in the area before Professor Berger and Professor Dirks began searching it using Google Earth at the start of 2008.
 They uncovered almost 500 more caves and more than 25 new fossil sites.

5 EVOLUTION PRODUCES PERFECTION

You don't have to be perfectly adapted to survive, you just have to be as well adapted as your competitors are. The apparent perfection of animals is thus a reflection of the intensity of our imaginations, rather than of reality.

6 IT DOESN'T MATTER IF PEOPLE DON'T GRASP EVOLUTION

At an individual level, it might not matter very much. However, our modern society which forces major decisions upon us is far more dependent on the scientific consensus than we think.

New Scientist, 13 April 2008

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC NEWS

REPORTING YOUR WORLD DAILY

"MISSING LINK" FOUND: New Fossil Links Humans, Lemurs?



<http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2009/05/090519-missing-link-found.html>

May 19, 2009—After 100 "the small 'missing link'" found in Germany Prof's created a big media splash and will likely continue to make waves among those who study human origins.

In a new book, documentary, and promotional Web site, paleontologist Jan Furuon, who led the team that analyzed the 47-million-year-old fossil seen above, suggests this is a critical missing-link species in primate evolution (interactive guide to human evolution from National Geographic magazine).

SHARE: Facebook, Twitter, Digg, StumbleUpon, Reddit

RELATED: Our Fossil Storyline, Share Your Story, and more...

Experts unveil dino, oldest known ancestor of birds

<http://www.reuters.com/article/2010/01/28/us-china-dinosaur-bird-idUSTRE60R62F20100128>



By Tom Ichni
 WASHINGTON (The AP) — The Jan. 28, 2010 (UPI) — 2010

(Reuters) - China has unearthed the fossil of a two-legged carnivorous dinosaur that lived 160 million years ago and which researchers have identified as the earliest known member of a long lineage that includes birds.

The "Archaeopteryx solus" had a long, narrow skull, many small teeth and powerful beaks and forelimbs, which enabled it to hunt primitive lizards, small mammals and reptiles, the experts write in the latest issue of the journal Science.

The individual, believed to be a young adult when it died, had a long tail and a total body length of between 190 and 230 cm. (6 feet 2 inches to 7 feet 6 inches). It was found in orange mudstone in the Junggar Basin in China's far western Xinjiang region.

Share, Share this, Email, Print, Related Topics: Science, China, China finds bird-linked dinosaur

Welcome to the Family, Missing Link

Discovery of the fossil of an unknown human ancestor shakes up ideas of human evolution.

<http://www.newsweek.com/2010/04/07/welcome-to-the-family-missing-link.html#>

Let there be no more groans. Lee Berger of the University of the Witwatersrand in South Africa took his son, Matthew, then 9, to the site near the Malapa caves north of Johannesburg where he and his team were hunting fossils in 2008. The boy was


The Detroit News, 9 April 2010

New fossils may fit in ape-human gap

BY RANDOLPH E. SCHMID
Associated Press

Washington — Two skeletons nearly 2 million years old and unearthed in South Africa are part of a previously unknown species that scientists say fits the transition from ancient apes to modern humans.

The fossils bear traits from both lineages, and researchers have named them Australopithecus sediba, meaning "southern ape, wellspring," to indicate their place as an earlier apelike



A species of hominid nearly 2 million years old was found in South Africa.

ance. Modern humans, known as Homo sapiens, descended over millions of years from earlier groups, such as Australopithecus, the best-known example of which may be the fossil Lucy, who lived about a million years before the newly discovered A. sediba. Berger said the newly described fossils date between 1.95 million and 1.78 million years ago. The two new fossils were found in a pit in what was once a cave, their bones preserved by hardened sediment that

After about a... a fossil" but when he... life. A clavicle... a jaw. Both bones... or. And as Berger... of the journal... team excavated... fossils, a... sly unknown... have such an... like nothing since

Evrimde yeni bir halka

A new link in evolution
Sabah, 10. 04. 2010

Güney Afrika'da bulunan 2 "insansı" iskelet, evrimin sonlarından daha geç başladığını gösteriyor. Buluş, insan türünün gelişimine ışık tutacak



Güney Afrika'da bir mağarada 2009'da bulunan iki iskelet insan ve şempanze arasında bir bağlantı gösteriyor. Araştırmacılar, bu iskeletlerin yaklaşık 1.75 milyon yıl önce yaşadığını tahmin ediyor.

evrimin sonlarından daha geç başladığını gösteriyor. Buluş, insan türünün gelişimine ışık tutacak

Dinozor-kuş bağlantısına yeni kanıt

Kuşların atalarından sayılan bir türe ait fosille dinozorlarla modern kuşlarda bulunan kimyasal maddeler tespit edildi.

İstanbul'da 23.03.2010 11:00



Dinozorun renk paleti

Müjde bir atamız oldu

Great news, we have an ancestor
Star, 26 March 2010

bulunan parmak kemigi parancıncı türe ait olduğu iddia edildi



New evidence of the dinosaur-bird connection
NTVMSNBC, 11 May 2010

Whenever there are effective activities regarding the collapse of Darwinism, whenever conferences are held, books distributed or TV programs made, Darwinists always attempt to neutralize that effect by saying "we are not bothered." Then they try to make good the damage by inventing another "missing link" report. They try to give the impression that this global defeat has not affected them. But the fact is they are in a state of panic. They have realized their trickery of the last 150 years will be exposed. They are aware they are headed toward total collapse. The "missing link" reports they produce so keenly are in fact their ideology's death throes. But they can now see that even these will do them no good.

They try to cast a spell over people with false illustrations and reconstructions

By now, we are all familiar with an ape-man that for many years has appeared in the pages of newspapers and magazines, that was for years taught in school books as if it were true, and that people were told represented important evidence for is an entirely false chronology. This fake ape-man was depicted walking with a stooped gait and an animal-like appearance holding a heavy club in his hands and with a whole social life. It was also been given an almost unpronounceable Latin name: *Hesperopithecus haroldcookii*.


Hesperopithecus haroldcookii was illustrated time and time again in the pages of the world's best known magazines. Reconstructions of it were produced and exhibited in prestigious museums.. Nobel prize-winning scientists discussed it at conferences, doctoral theses were written about it, and scientists frequently debated as to what kind of social life it enjoyed, where and how it lived, where it walked and how it spoke. It was also better known by another name: **Nebraska Man**.

One very important point here is that all of these fictitious scenario concerning *Hesperopithecus haroldcookii*, which Darwinist scientists studied and taught their students for years, was revealed to have been all invented on the basis of a single pig tooth.

Nebraska Man is an example which illustrates the scale of the frauds Darwinists perpetrate through visual conditioning, but it is by no means the only one. All the Darwinist pictures of fictitious apemen, with all their characteristics such as enjoying social lives, hunting and fishing, playing with their children and whatnot, are sometimes based on nothing more than a single finger bone, a shoulder blade or a tooth. Parts of fossil skeletons are sometimes unearthed, but then such fantastical reconstructions are produced that the result is a fully fledged ape-man. But this is a complete deception.

IMAGINARY

Time, 9 August 2004



Nebraska Man is the greatest evidence of Darwinists' reconstruction deceptions. Darwinists had no hesitations over depicting the fictitious Nebraska Man and a whole social setting "on the basis of a single pig tooth."



Reconstructions and evolutionist drawings are used to mislead people and create the impression that there really is such a thing as evolution. As we have seen with Nebraska Man, the various pictures and reconstructions are based solely on the imaginations of the people producing them. No such ape-man ever, ever existed. Indeed, all the skulls and skeletons discovered either come from human beings, or else from extinct species of apes or monkeys. But the pictures and reconstructions produced provides the framework for the subsequent conditioning.

All the ape-men, dino-birds, and life forms trying to move from water to dry land that one sees in Darwinist newspapers, magazines and TV programs are fictitious. If nothing else, they certainly reflect the imaginative power of the artists concerned. When they are exposed as frauds, they are quietly set aside. The Darwinist press is very careful that nobody should realize the scale of the fraud being perpetrated. But policies of concealing frauds of course bears no fruits, and all Darwinist deceptions are being exposed, one by one, and all the time.

4 milyon yıllık değişim!

A 4-million-year change
Yeni Şafak, 01. 10. 1998

Mankind survived by cannibalism
Milliyet, 23 June 2010

İnsan nesli yamyamlıkla hayatta kalmış

İNGİLTERE'DE Cheddar Gorge mağarasında yapılan arkeolojik kazılarda bulunan iskeletler, Buzul Çağı insanların yamyamlıkla hayatta kaldığını gösterdi. Yeni karbon testi tekniğiyle yapılan araştırma, 14 bin 700 yıl önce bu mağarada yaşayan insanların insan eti



Science vie, Nov 2003



Biocultural Evolution Still Affecting Humanity

http://www.associatedcontent.com/article/21288/biocultural_evolution_still_affecting.html?cat=5

The term biocultural evolution is a means of describing the influence of both biological makeup and cultural behaviors on human evolution. It also explains that the nature of these two aspects is that they are interdependent and influential on each other.

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC NEWS

REPORTING YOUR WORLD DAILY

Thursday, October 28, 2010

28 October 2010

Cannibalism Normal For Early Humans?

By John Roach
for National Geographic News
April 10, 2003

Summary: Genetic markers commonly found in modern humans all over the world could be evidence that our earliest ancestors were cannibals, according to new research. Scientists suggest that even today many of us carry a gene that evolved as protection against brain diseases that can be spread by eating human flesh.

The brain diseases, called prion diseases, are characterized by loss of coordination, dementia, paralysis, and eventually death. Modern examples include Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease and kuru in humans, and mad cow disease, or bovine spongiform encephalopathy, in animals.

LATEST PHOTO NEWS
SPACE PHOTOS THIS WEEK: Tiny Galaxy, Sun's Ion, More

LATEST VIDEO NEWS
Stuck Mars Rover About to Die?

Most Viewed News

ADVERTISEMENT
Heidi Klum's beauty secrets and fashion tips for women!

Check it out!

All the illustrations in these newspaper clippings are FALSE. No such ape-man ever existed. Darwinists use drawings and reconstructions to spread lies. They use the conditioning technique that will most affect people – the visual element. Someone uninformed on the subject who looks at these newspapers will begin to become very familiar with these ape-men he keeps seeing. Through this magic spell he will become “convinced” that our ancestors really were ape-men. That person will easily believe in evolution. He will feel no need to ask for any scientific evidence. That is how Darwinist propaganda beguiles people, spreading hypnosis in this way.

Fossils show evolution wasn't in a straight line

Asian Age, 09 August 2007

Washington — Surprising fossils dug up in Africa are creating more kinks in the iconic straight line of

Daily Mail, 9 August 2007



Find: The Homo erectus skull

A skull turns history on its head

Daily Telegraph, 09.08.2007

Fossils put new branch on human family tree

Calgary Herald, 9 August 2007

Discovery of ancient skull forces rethink

RALE STEENHUYSEN
ROUTERS
CHICAGO

NEXT
TRENDS • DISCOVERIES
WHAT'S NEW

An ancient skull and upper jawbone from

The Gazette Colorado, 9 August 2007

Humans' evolutionary tree gets partly rewritten

Commercial Appeal, 9 August 2007

SPOTLIGHT HUMAN EVOLUTION

Finds shake family tree

Pre-human species lived at same time

the little was said about their lives, geneticists have been able to

because **FOSSILS** "are not always, straight line from early to late." The new findings, he added, support the view of



LUMBER LUMBER

1.5 million-year-old fossils could rewrite early man's history

Baltimore Sun, 9 August 2007

Skull, jaw fresh insight into human evolution

BY THOMAS H. MAUGH II

A 1.5 million-year-old skull and an equally old jaw found in Kenya are helping

single linear trunk, he said. Homo habilis — "handy man" — is the oldest representative of the genus Homo, dating from about 2.5 million years ago. The species was defined by Mary and Louis Leakey based on fossils found in Tanzania from 1961 to 1964. Shown

African fossils unearth twists in evolution of humans

Boston Herald USA, 09.08.2007

Calgary Herald, 9 August 2007

Discovery of ancient skull forces rethink

RALE STEENHUYSEN
ROUTERS
CHICAGO

NEXT
TRENDS • DISCOVERIES
WHAT'S NEW

An ancient skull and upper jawbone from



Scientists are rethinking the evolution of man.

Darwinists produced the above illustrations from a little finger fossil they found in Siberia and claimed that the finger represented a "third species of man." The sole reason why Darwinists resorted to that deception is that this finger belonging to modern man did not fit into their fictitious schemes of evolution and indeed totally refuted the theory of evolution. It was immediately depicted as an odd kind of ape-man in Darwinist publications, taking absolutely no precautionary measures at all, and the impression was given that the discovery had something to do with evolution. Were it not for the comprehensive and scientific responses provided by us on the subject, this deception would have taken in vast numbers of people. Someone unaware of the scientific facts about the subject might well imagine that this ape-man he saw in Darwinist publications was true.

***When evidence refuting evolution is discovered,
they either ignore or else try to destroy it***

Darwinists have used all these forms of mental conditioning for the last 150 years and engaged in false fossil propaganda exactly as they chose. Since they had a monopoly of schools, universities, renowned scientists, magazines, newspapers and visual media they could deceive people as they wished. That included evidence refuting evolution.

Since fossils from the Cambrian Period serve as one of the main proofs that life began suddenly and with an extraordinary complexity, and would certainly spell the end of Darwinism, they were hidden away for seventy years by Charles Doolittle Walcott, the Darwinist paleontologist who found them. This is a startling and significant indicator in terms of understanding the scale of the Darwinist fraud.

Similar events have taken place quite frequently in subsequent times, and nobody was even aware of the more than 350 million fossils completely refuting evolution, and discovered to date. Of course, Darwinists did to these fossils what they did to the Cambrian ones. Because all of these fossils meant the same thing; each one was proof of Creation.


For years, Darwinists only ever mentioned two or three types of "living fossil," in a bid to give the impression that living fossils consisted of these alone. But then people came to realize that there are fossil specimens dating back millions of years of all the life forms alive today. In other words, the great majority of fossils were living fossils showing that present-day life forms are identical to those living millions of years ago.


Since the fictitious subject of the evolution of man was the most sensitive, and the most open to Darwinist speculation, Darwinists engage in widespread fraud when it comes to fossils showing the his-

tory of man. Fossils showing that man has never evolved are generally kept hidden away, or even destroyed entirely. Because if even one of these enters the agenda, that will be enough to spell the end of the evolution deceit. That is why false fossils are always brought up in this context and made the subject of constant speculation.

As soon as Charles D. Walcott discovered the Cambrian Era fossils he hid them away, and kept them HIDDEN FOR THE NEXT 70 YEARS. The only reason for hiding them away is that Cambrian fossils represented the most excellent evidence for Creation.

This method of Walcott's is still being applied by today's evolutionists. More than 350 million fossils had been hidden away until they were revealed through the Atlas of Creation. Because all these fossils prove Creation. Darwinists try to preserve their false theories not by producing scientific evidence, but by CONCEALING IT.





The trilobite eye in the picture consists of hundreds of tiny cells, each one of which contains a pair of lenses. The 530-million-year-old compound eye system in these life forms has come down to the present day unchanged; various insects such as bees and dragonflies have the same eye structure.



In order to maintain their ideology they have even proposed the idea of space creatures

It is utterly humiliating and ludicrous for a Darwinist scientist to stand up and say, "Space creatures brought life here!" Someone who pays close attention and is aware of the true face of the Darwinist deception will immediately see that such statements are an expression of utter wretchedness and despair. Because the single greatest problem for Darwinists is that of the origin of life. Quite unable to account for how even a single protein could have formed by chance, and aware of how helpless they are on that subject, Darwinists have sought a way out by hiding behind the idea of creatures from space.

There is one very important point we have already stressed regarding Darwinists: some Darwinists will deny the existence of Allah "... even if they see miracles," as we are told in one verse. This is a characteristic of some unbelievers described in the Qur'an. Even though these people are well aware of the facts and the truth, the Qur'an tells us they will still deny it because of the vast scale of their pride.

The space creature deception raised by certain atheist Darwinists was born from exactly that state of affairs. The fact that proteins cannot come into being spontaneously is just one of the proofs that life was created. But since it is some Darwinists' intention to deny the existence of Allah (surely Allah is beyond that), they ignore this highly significant fact about proteins. And instead of admitting the existence of Allah, Who is mighty enough to create even a single protein with the most amazing complexity, they have become the defenders of this utterly illogical claim. This is extraordinarily brazen-faced, and in fact an admission of great weakness. The Darwinists who make this unbelievable claim are utterly humiliating themselves for the sake of their perverse religion.

In doing this, Darwinists never reflect on how they will be unable to answer the following question: *How did this fictitious and complex molecule they make this claim about come into being?* Even if it were somewhere in the vastness of space, it could not have come into being by chance; thus, there has to be an intelligence far superior to that of human beings that created it. That alone spells the end of this dubious Darwinist claim. Other claims made by Darwinists, such as organic molecules arriving from space and being caught in something like a cold trap used in the Miller experiment and eventually giving rise to a living cell, are equally preposterous.

Even if all the organic molecules constituting a cell, even if all the proteins in it that could never come into being by chance were all present at the same time, and even if all the conditions claimed by the Darwinists applied, it would still be impossible for a cell to emerge by chance. Darwinists are unable to produce even the smallest components of the cell, not even in a conscious and controlled manner and under whatever laboratory conditions they desire. They are unable to account for even a single protein. Darwinists are just playing games with people. Their deceptive idea of "space creatures" makes their perspective towards human beings very clear indeed. They imagine that they can still impose evolution, the great deception of the last 150 years and which they now fear they may be losing, by means of such nonsense, and that people will simply accept it.

Darwinists can strive all they like, but all the claims they come up with for the purpose of encouraging denial of Allah (surely Allah is beyond that) merely humiliate them further. Because Almighty Allah, Lord of the worlds, has promised in verse that He will bring down all those who deny Him:

... know that you cannot thwart Allah and that Allah will humiliate the unbelievers. (Surat at-Tawba, 2)

FALSE NEWS REPORTS

FarShores News

June 26, 2011

<http://www.100megsfree4.com/farshores/n02et.htm>

In 1969 Michael Crichton wrote the Andromeda Strain deadly extraterrestrial virus was returned to Earth unsuspecting populace. Films followed suit and in as the spores of alien body snatchers once again d through the atmosphere and replaced even the in Donald Sutherland. Strangely, this notion that ext organisms can reach the Earth is a real scientific

Article: **Life's Building Blocks 'Abundant in Space'**
 By Sam Collins, Staff Writer
 Date: 18 October 2005, Time
www.space.com/1686-life-building-blocks-abundant-space.html



Spiral Galaxy M81
 NASA Spitzer Space Telescope image of the spiral galaxy M81, located some 12 million light years from Earth. The infrared radiation emitted by Polym molecules is shown in red.


The idea that comets and meteorites seeded early Earth with the tools to make life has gained momentum from recent observations of some of these building blocks floating throughout the cosmos. Scientists scanning a galaxy 12 million light-years away with NASA's Spitzer Space Telescope detected copious amounts of nitrogen-containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), molecules critical to all known forms of life.

AVUSTRALYALI PROFESÖRÜN İDDİASI ORT



Prof. Davies'in kitabı, bilim dünyasında fırtınalar koparıyor.

So, we came from Mars!
 Sabah, 3 February 2003



Meğer Mars'tan gelmişiz



AVUSTRALYA'DAKİ Sydney State Üniversitesi'nden Profesör Paul Davies

Davies, yaşamın kökeni dışarıda et Mars'tan vites, bilim için mi gezegen parak geldiğini

Yoksa hepimiz Mars'tan mı geldik?

Sevgin bilim dergisi Scientific American dünyadaki tüm canlıların aslında Mars'tan kopmuş olduğunu savunuyor. Bu iddianın arkasında ne var? Dergide görüşleri, hayran ve meraklıları için açıkladığı organizmaların evrimi de tartışılıyor.

So, did we all come from Mars?
 Vatan, 14 November 2005

Asteroids gave rise to life
 Bugün, 8 December 2008

GÖKTAŞLARI, HAYATI ORTAYA ÇIKARMIŞ

Meteoritlerin (göktaşlarının), yer yüzünde hayatın ortaya çıkması konusunda çok önemli görev üstlendiği bildirildi.

Yoghürre Fırtınaya başkanlığındaki araştırmacılar, "kondrülit" meteoritlerinin ortaya çıkması için elzem olan karbon, demir ve nikel elementlerini ihlas ediyor.

Proof of extraterrestrial life
 Radikal, 11. 12. 2008

Dünya dışı yaşam kanıtı

WASHINGTON - NASA, yörüngedeki uzay teleskobu Hubble'ın uzak bir gezegende karbondioksit (CO2) ve karbonmonoksit tespit ettiğini ve bunun Dünya dışı yaşamın keşfi için ilk adım olabileceğini açıkladı. Yeni açıklamada, Hubble'ın Dünya'dan 63 ışık yılı uzakta ve Jüpiter büyüklüğündeki dev gezegende CO2 bulduğu belirtildi. "Dünya'ya benzeyen bir gezegende yaşam olduğuna gösterebilecek organik bileşenlerin bulunması"

Hope in extraterrestrial life
 Bugün, 11 December 2008



Dev gezegende karbondioksit ve karbonmonoksit bulundu

DÜNYA DIŞINDA YAŞAM UMUDU

Uzay teleskobu Hubble, Dünya'dan 63 ışık yılı uzakta ve Jüpiter büyüklüğündeki dev gezegende karbondioksit ve karbonmonoksit tespit etti. NASA burun Dünya dışı yaşamın keşfi için ilk adım olabileceğini açıkladı. Hubble Uzay Teleskobu Bilim Enstitüsü'nden Ray Villard, HD189733B' adını verdikleri bu gezegenin yaşamın varlığını büyük ölçüde izni oluşturabilecek bir kimyasal oluşturma sahip olduğunu söyledi.

Meteorlar hayat verdi

Meteors gave life
Radikal, 10 August 2005

yok ederken dünyaya yeni hayatlar 'ekildi'. Kanada'nın kutbu bölgesine 23 milyon önce çarpan Hutton meteorunun terini inceleyen tırmacılar, bu dünyanın mikropollerinin rallerin etkileşimlerini kolaylaştıran ortamı oluşturduğunu belirledi. Dünya'nın ağır meteor bombardımanı bundan 3.8 milyar yıl önce, gezegenin

İNGİLİZ VE AMERİKALI BİLİMDAMLARI AÇIKLADI:

Uzaydan düştük

We fell to Earth from space
Sabah, 6 April 2005



So, are we all Martians?
Vatan, 14. 11. 2005

"Büyük bir meteorun Mars'tan kopup gelen meteorların üzerindeki mikroorganizmalar sayesinde ortaya çıkması olası." Derginin bu iddiası, evrim teorisine karşı çıkan çevrelerin büyük tepkisini çekti. YTE

İkinci yaşamı oluşturmuştu

AMERİKALI Uzay ve Havacılık Dairesi'ne (NASA) bağlı Asya Araştırma Merkezi bilimadamları, meteorların dünyaya ilk yaşamın tohumlarını ektiğini açıkladı. İngiliz ve Amerikalı bilimadamların ortak yürüttükleri çalışmaları finanse eden uzay şirketlerindeki görevlilerden kopan meteorların, milyarlarca yıl önce dünyaya çarpan ve su moleküllerini getirdiğini belirtiyor. Moleküllerin atmosferde girince buharlaşmadığı ve sınımsızden etkilenmediği ifade ediyor.

DÜŞME ONLARCA YIL SÖRMÜŞ

ARAŞTIRMA ekibinde bulunan Christopher McKay, meteorların adeta benzer yapıda kimyasallar içerdiklerini söyledi. Bu parçacıklarda bulunan organik kimyasalların, suyla ve buharla birlikte dünyanın atmosferine göktaşları aracılığıyla yayıldığına inanıyor. Bu organik kimyasalların onlarca yıl içinde toprağa düşmesini söyleyen McKay, "Milyarlarca yıl önce düşen meteor parçacıklarında, ilk ve ikinci yaşamın oluşması için gerekli olan amino asitleri tespit ettik" dedi.

Yaşam uzaydan mı geldi?

Did life come from space?
Vatan, 5 December 2006

Tağış Gölü'ne düşen bir göktaşı üzerinde yaptıkları analizlerde, meteoritin Güneş'ten de eski organik maddeleri içerdiğini tespit ettiler. Böylesi bir maddenin Dünya'da yaşamın gelişiminde hayati rol oynadığı tezi üzerinde duran bilim insanları, göktaşının yapısındaki materyalin büyük bölümünün Güneş Sistemi ile aynı yaşta, ancak gök taşındaki mikroskobik organik "damlacıkların" çok daha eski olduğunu belirlediler. NASA yetkilisi Johnson uzay merkezinden Scott Messenger, organik maddenin meteorit doğduğunda muhtemelen oluşmadığını, zira Gü-



neş Sistemi'nin o sırada yaşanamazlık derecede olduğunu belirterek, "yaratılardan Güneş Sistemi'nin dışına çıktığını" iddia etti.

PHYSORG.COM
NEWS SERVICE FOR SCIENTISTS

Home News/Technology Physics Space & Earth Electronics Technology

Earth Sciences Astronomy Environment Space Exploration

Meet Medical/Space: PhysOrg.com transfers medical section into a separate site. Read more

Meteor impacts: Life's jump starter?
August 3, 2005

<http://www.physorg.com/news5686.html>

Meteor impacts are generally regarded as monstrous killers and one of the causes of mass extinctions throughout the history of life. But there is a chance the heavy bombardment of Earth by meteors during the planet's youth actually spurred early life on our planet, say Canadian geologists.

Ad: by: Google

3D Seismic Imaging w/ - Intel® Xeon® Processor-based server
12 CPU / 3584 GPU Cores - www.colfax-int.com

A study of the Houghton Impact Crater on Devon Island, in the Canadian Arctic, has revealed some very life-friendly features at ground zero. These include hydrothermal systems, blasted rocks that are easier for microbes to inhabit, plus the cozy, protected basin created by the crater itself. If true, impact craters could

Some Darwinists, who are unable to deny that proteins cannot come into being by chance but that are unwilling to abandon the fraudulent state of mind brought about by materialist logic, instead of admitting the sublime power of Almighty Allah, have no compunctions about hiding behind the idea of space creatures. They again engage in fraud and to try deceive people into thinking that the idea of space will answer the fundamental question of how protein first came into existence. In fact, however, the Darwinist idea of outer space is an indicator of a very profound and comprehensive despair. It is an admission of defeat and bafflement. It is one of the claims that most humiliates Darwinists. Life neither came from outer space nor started on Earth by chance. Life came into being, with all its extraordinary beauty diversity and complexity by our Lord telling it to "Be!" This is the only conclusion shown us by science through molecular biology, genetics and 350 million fossils.

They try to silence activities demonstrating the invalidity of evolution, not with scientific evidence, but by shouting the opposition down

Darwinists are afraid of science. They are terrified at the facts revealed by science becoming known to all and spreading across the world because everything truly scientific demolishes and refutes evolution.

For that reason, Darwinists can never **RESPOND TO ANYONE WITH SCIENCE.**

- Aggression is their only response to scientific evidence.
- They oppose conferences against evolution that reveal scientific evidence, not with evidence of their own, but with placards and protests.
- They try to close down exhibitions displaying scientific facts – genuine fossils. They seek to burn books containing scientific evidence.
- They take people who try to have scientific evidence that exposes the fraud of evolution being taught in schools to court.
- They reject invitations from adherents of creationism to face-to-face debates with horror and terror and never, ever accept such challenges.
- Instead of responding to scientific evidence with evidence of their own, Darwinists reply with despotism, aggression, brute force and great hue and cry because they possess no scientific evidence and this is the only way they know of keeping their theory propped up.

Darwinists hide behind concepts such as democracy, rights, liberty and freedom of thought at every available opportunity, but when the invalidity of their claims is exposed, they suddenly become bigoted and aggressive. They immediately disregard the concepts of freedom of thought and speech, democracy, and intellectual freedom they have been sheltering behind. They immediately become utterly intolerant.



The only way that Darwinists can respond to scientific anti-evolution activities is by behaving like hooligans with aggression, protests and shouting and screaming. They can never respond with science and scientific evidence.

erant, and even highly aggressive, when evidence revealing the fact of creation is brought forward. Indeed, when it comes to ideas, it is

the Darwinists themselves who are the most closed minded, bigoted, and perverse of all. Far from being a scientific conclusion, Darwinism is a false and perverse belief that science actually refutes. Since it is based solely on ideology and a superstitious belief system, its supporters can only seek to keep it alive through methods more suited to hooligans than men of science and education.

For many years now, Darwinism has intimidated people with this despotic policy. If it is that Darwinism dominates institutions of state across the whole world today, the sole reason for that is the tyrannical and aggressive policy of intimidation in question. If a Darwinist comes to a scientific conference holding a placard instead of scientific evidence, if he tries to silence opposition by shouting rather than making scientific statements, if he imagines he can intimidate opponents of evolution by attacking them, and if he is removed from a scientific conference under police escort, then that alone is enough to expose the true face of Darwinism. Reports of the collapse of Darwinism have initiated an extraordinary panic among Darwinists. No despotism will now be able to keep the Darwinists' false religion propped up. This is the law of Allah. Our Lord Allah, Who knows all things, reveals in one verse that believers will always emerge victorious:

Rather We hurl the truth against falsehood and it cuts right through it and it vanishes clean away! Woe without end for you for what you portray! (Surat al-Anbiya', 18)

The fact they suffer psychological troubles and difficulties is clear from their behavior and reactions

There is a very important clue that Darwinists are psychologically troubled; it manifests itself in their body language. One can clearly see that they have suffered a terrible defeat from their anxious states when they see proofs of Creation, from their reactions, uncontrolled actions, and stressed responses. This is another significant piece of evidence that Darwinism is a deception.

Darwinists in any case usually confront supporters of Creation with the full awareness of this defeat and with a sense of inferiority. For that reason, instead of enjoying the peace and ease that comes from espousing what is true and right, they suffer under the burden and troubles that comes from espousing a lie. Their own ideology requires them to espouse a theory devoid of any scientific evidence as though it were scientific, and has strong supporting evidence. They have to try and respond to vital scientific evidence proving the invalidity of the theory of evolution. Even though they know that people will believe and be convinced by the true scientific facts, they still try to give the impression that what they espouse is the truth. Having to


The beauty, harmony and symmetry in nature is one of the most troubling issues for Darwinists. Even Darwin did not conceal that unease, being forced to admit that the feathers of a peacock made him feel "ill."



consistently espouse a lie is a terrible burden for a person, particularly if he is aware of the true facts.

That is why in discussion programs there are scenes where Darwinists display very angry, irritable, and distressed behavior, waving their arms around in peculiar gestures and generally giving an impression of stress and exhaustion. They constantly say there is something they want to state, but no statement is ever forthcoming. It is impossible for them to make any statement because there is absolutely no scientific evidence for evolution. This is the stress and unease that comes from not espousing the truth. Darwinists inevitably experience this constantly distressed state of mind everywhere in their lives, not just in the environments described above, because they espouse a lie and behave falsely. Almighty Allah reveals in a verse how those who strive against our Lord will have troubled lives:

But if anyone turns away from My reminder, his life will be a dark and narrow one... (Surah Ta Ha, 124)



They maintain that all claims refuting evolution are unscientific

We have been discussing how Darwinists try to give the impression of being scientific by using scientific formulae and terminology and have thus deceived large numbers of people by means of such psychological conditioning. A second fraudulent tactic that Darwinists resort to in this context is the (deceptive) defense mechanism of suggesting that opponents of Darwinism are unscientific.

Because he knows he will inevitably come off for the worse, a Darwinist will never publicly debate a proponent of creation, and will justify this attitude by saying that "... the proper environment for a scientific debate has not been established." But this is merely defeatism and an easy way out. Of course they will not want to engage in such a debate, because they know they will lose and end up humiliated. Instead, they hide behind the idea of being scientific in their search for ways to avoid such encounters.

But the fact is that it is only the supporters of Creation that have produced scientific evidence. No Darwinist has ever been able to produce even a single piece of scientific evidence, nor is it possible for any Darwinist ever to do so. But the scientific evidence refuting evolution that he sees during the course of any debate will cause him enormous distress. He will see genuine fossils, and the frauds of evolution will be exposed, and the simple fact that evolutionists are unable to account for how even a single protein could have come about by chance will be revealed for all to see. Such a defeat is intolerable for Darwinists. That is why they hide behind all these very familiar psychological defense mechanisms and accuse the other side of being unscientific.

This fact must be always borne in mind: Darwinists possess not so much as one single piece of scientific evidence. All they have is

Harun Yahya (Adnan Oktar)

demagoguery, lies, false accusations, aggression, psychological conditioning, hoax fossils and abundant specious scientific terminology. They way they claim to be "scientific" is one of the century's most astonishing phenomena. This shows the worldwide scale of the Darwinist deception. A deception that is constantly rebutted by scientific evidence, and that not even children attach any credence to, has adopted science as a protective shield.

Therefore, one must always bear in mind that a Darwinist who espouses evolution in the name of science and simultaneously refuses to have any dealings with proponents of Creation because they aren't scientific is engaging in a huge deception. Science is in actual fact Darwinists' greatest foe. Because the more they turn their backs on science, the more the scientific facts keep annihilating evolution.

From the 13 October, 2009, issue of the Times, Great Britain

A Call to Richard Dawkins!

Richard Dawkins has on many previous occasions been invited by Mr. Adnan Oktar (Harun Yahya) to a face-to-face, public debate in which the scientific evidence is brought together. But Dawkins has always replied, "I have sworn not to debate."

Dawkins' subsequent selection of secondary school students and evangelical clergy to debate with has attracted considerable notice, however. In other words, he has no excuse or explanation for not taking part in such a debate.

**THEREFORE ONCE AGAIN MR. ADNAN OKTAR INVITES
RICHARD DAWKINS TO A FACE-TO-FACE DEBATE
ACCOMPANIED BY THE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE.**

Global Publishing (www.harunyahya.com - www.bookglobal.net)

Mr. Adnan Oktar has time and again reiterated his invitation to the atheist and Darwinist Richard Dawkins for a face-to-face encounter. He even placed a notice in the eminent British daily The Times for that purpose. The only reason why Dawkins avoids such a debate is without doubt the fact that he is only too well aware that his claim will completely collapse in the face of the scientific evidence he sees in Mr. Oktar's works.

Science is anti-Darwinist and anti-atheist

SCIENCE IS THE ENEMY OF DARWINISM. SCIENCE IS OPPOSED TO ATHEISM. SCIENCE IS ANTI-COMMUNIST AND ANTI-MARXIST. SCIENCE ANNIHILATES MARXIST, ATHEIST, AND DARWINIST THINKING. The Darwinist deception has collapsed in the face of science. Science has dealt Darwinist propaganda its heaviest blow. Science has eliminated the mass conditioning of the fraud of evolution. Science has torn down the foundations of atheist philosophy. Science demolishes Darwinism wherever it goes, wherever it shows its face. SCIENCE is ANTI-PAGAN. Science does away with idolatrous thinking, superstitious religions and false ideologies. For that reason, SCIENCE INFLECTS THE GREATEST PAIN ON DARWINISTS.

Passing Darwinism off as science is part of an unpleasant trickery being perpetrated across the world. The system of the dajjal required a new religion (surely Allah is beyond that) in order to eliminate belief in Allah from the world, and it many years ago identified the most efficient hypnotic technique and surrounded itself with science. It realized it could take advantage of science to mislead people, that it could reach across the world, take control of the most key positions and perpetrate all kinds of fraud, all in the name of science. The mass hypnosis that the system of the dajjal carried out all over the world was spread in the name of science.

But the science they thought of as their ally eventually devastated Darwinists. They never dreamed as they shamelessly perpetrated their scientific frauds that science would inflict such dreadful suffering on them. They entered a state of shock when they saw that science served only religion. They were terrified to see the world's greatest scientists announcing to the world that they believed in Allah. They again attempted to hide behind their scientific nonsense, but to no avail. They lost their voices. They saw with their own eyes how science demolished atheism, Darwinism, Marxism, communism, materialism and all other superstitious ideologies. Darwinists suffered their worst defeat at the hands of science. The science they thought of as their ally actually routes them.

One of the greatest facts that emerged with advances in science in the 21st century is this: science is for those who believe in Allah. Science benefits true believers. Allah refers in the Qur'an to the gnat (Surat al-Baqara, 26) and the honeybee (Surat an-Nahl, 68). He notes the stars travelling in their orbits (Surat adh-Dhariyat, 7) and to ships floating on the water (Surat al-Hajj, 65). Allah refers in the Qur'an to leaves turning tree (Surat al-An'am, 59) and to the seven layers of the sky (Surat al-Isra', 44). Allah tells believers in the Qur'an to be aware of these proofs of faith. People discover the depths of these phenomena as they investigate them with science.

Science tells us the anesthetic technique by which a mosquito inserts its proboscis and it tells us the molecular structure of the substance in that local anesthetic. The miracle of photosynthesis in green leaves, the laws of physics by which ships float on water and the celestial bodies that remain suspended in the sky and never go off course – unless Allah so chooses – are all facts shown us by science. Science proves everything we are told in the Qur'an. Therefore, anyone capable of thinking with reason and good conscience will immediately grasp the Almighty Existence of Allah from a single instance cited in the Qur'an. For that reason, a gnat cited in the Qur'an is enough for us to believe in our Almighty Lord. Allah says in verses:

Allah is not ashamed to make an example of a gnat or of an even smaller thing. As for those who believe, they know it is the truth from their Lord. But as for those who disbelieve, they say, 'What does Allah mean by this example?' He misguides many by it and guides many by it. But He only misguides the deviators. (Surat al-Baqara, 26)


Mankind! an example has been made, so listen to it carefully. Those whom you call upon besides Allah are not even able to create a single fly, even if they were to join together to do it. And if a fly steals something from them, they cannot get it back. How feeble are both the seeker and the sought! (Surat al-Hajj, 73)

Signs leading to faith are gifts shown to us by science. They are a glorious blessing from Allah. Allah uses science as His instrument to show us His sublimity, greatness and might. Both science and its discoveries are created by Allah, Lord of the Worlds. Darwinists are now in a state of panic. They are amazed to see that science is anti-pagan and anti-Darwinist. That pain is the worst of all Darwinists' sufferings over the last 150 years. The way that those who understand science have abandoned Darwinism in droves has further increased that suffering. The way that science serves Islam has multiplied that pain even more. So much so that Darwinists have shamefully declared that the way out lies with space creatures. That is the final situation of the system of the dajjal that has perpetrated mass hypnosis for the last 150 years. Every branch of science shows the sublime existence of Allah. The 21st century will be the age of that discovery and of an irreparable Darwinist defeat.

Science is Darwinism's worst enemy. Science obliterates Marxist, atheist, materialist and Darwinist thinking. Science has revealed the sublime existence and Creation of Allah in all their glory. All these facts revealed by science, and the way that science serves Islam, represent Darwinists' worst sufferings in the 21st century.



Br



They try to spread the deception that evolution and belief in Allah are not incompatible

Materialism constitutes the foundation of Darwinism. According to the materialist error, matter is eternal and there is no force superior to it. To put it another way, materialism rejects the idea of a Creator who rules the matter that constitutes the universe, and maintains it constantly under His control (surely Allah is beyond that). The only reason for all the efforts made to prop up Darwinism, which is based on the absolute existence of matter, and for all the frauds and deceptions perpetrated to that end, is the desire to prevent acceptance of the fact that a sublime and omnipotent Creator has brought all things into being. Darwinists have been endeavoring to do that for the last 150 years. And that is the reason Darwinism has been adopted as a false religion.

Darwinists as of late have been in a state of terrible panic due to this important fact having come to light in recent years. The reason for this panic is the fear of losing the support of large number of religious believers. Many people who believe in Allah and are ignorant of the true face of Darwinism blindly sign up to this deception, and Darwinists have been greatly displeased by the revelation of a truth that will awaken them.

The manner in which Darwinists have been working so strenuously to cover up the fact that Darwinism and atheism are in fact equivalent to one other is particularly striking. Worldwide conferences being held by Darwinists holding the title of Priest, conferences on evolution even being held in the Vatican as the result of pressure on the Pope, prizes being given to Darwinists acting on behalf of charities that seek to reconcile belief in Allah and evolution, clergymen appearing on television programs to defend evolution, the citing of fraudulent statements in support of evolution made by people who

lived in the past and are heralded as religious scholars, and many other such initiatives all stem from that same unease. The Darwinist press under the control of atheist freemasons must have realized that the equation "**Darwinism = Atheism**" would pose a huge difficulty for them and so went into action at once to raise the issue. The atheist and Darwinist Richard Dawkins admits the existence of a Darwinist lobby active in this sphere:

There's a kind of ... evolution defense lobby, in particular. They are mostly atheists, but they are wanting to—desperately wanting—to be friendly to mainstream, sensible religious people. And the way you do that is to tell them that there's no incompatibility between [evolution] and religion...⁴

As is clear from Dawkins' own admission, atheists represent the great majority of Darwinists who are trying to supposedly reconcile belief in Allah and evolution. This is a most unpleasant and misleading trick. All Darwinists who are prepared to engage in conditioning people through fraud and deception merely for the sake of their ideology have joined this pagan religion in order to deny the existence of Allah. In spreading their propaganda, Darwinists strive to conceal the true face of their theory. The fact that some people are unaware of is that this perverse and unscientific theory constitutes the source behind irreligion, tyranny, wars, slaughter, cruelty, degeneracy, terror and the martyring of so many soldiers in Turkey. The intensive Darwinist propaganda provides the foundation for the blind support of their insidious pseudo-religion. Darwinists are terrified of losing these blind grassroots supporters.

Darwinism is opposed to all beliefs and ideas that espouse the existence of Allah, that praise our Lord and point to Almighty Allah's creative artistry. Darwinism is a perverse religion produced in a deliberate and programmatic manner in atheist freemasons lodges for the purpose of halting the turning towards Allah, preventing the

emergence of devout societies, and for materialism to be able to reign supreme as a false faith. Just as it was intended, this perverse religion has for 150 years turned vast masses of people away from belief in Allah and constituted the foundation of the communist and fascist regimes that inflicted some of the worst scourges in history. Today's efforts to reconcile evolution and belief in Allah are one of the most unpleasant ruses of this whole great fraud. Everyone must reject this deception and this false propaganda. Our Almighty Lord created all things, the earth and sky and everything between, simply by commanding them to "Be!" That is the glorious Creation shown in the Qur'an and exhibited with so much evidence on earth. The evidence of that glorious Creation is inflicting defeat upon defeat on the fraud of Darwinism, day after day, and is effectively eliminating it. As our Almighty Lord says in one verse:

It is He Who created the heavens and the earth with truth. The day He says 'Be!' it is. His speech is Truth. The Kingdom will be His on the Day the Trumpet is blown, the Knower of the Unseen and the Visible. He is the All-Wise, the All-Aware. (Surat al-An'am, 73)





If Almighty Allah wished, He could of course have created all this glorious life using evolution as His instrument. And in that event we would, of course, have been the most convinced adherents of evolution. But creation did not take place like that, as the Qur'an says, our Lord created living things in a single moment by telling them to "Be!" This magnificent creation demolishes Darwinism more and more every day with new evidence.



Conclusion

All superstition will vanish in the face of Truth

Every superstitious religion will inevitably fail. This is an immutable law of Allah. No matter how successful they may appear, no matter how much dominion they enjoy, no matter how many people they may influence and no matter how much success they appear to enjoy, all superstitious faiths will inevitably fall. This law of Allah never changes.

The Darwinists have failed to comprehend this great secret of Allah's. They never believed that Allah will inevitably inflict defeat on every perverse idea. They imagined that the transitory victory bestowed on them by Allah was a gain and a superiority. They boasted of this false victory and never foresaw that they would one day be revealed as frauds.

Yet what they never foresaw or expected suddenly befell them. They began to watch their own defeat in complete amazement. They were unable to understand how it had happened. They were at a loss to understand how the theory of evolution, protected under law in all countries, taught in schools and imposed on professors, supported by virtually all the major press and backed with a budget of millions of dollars could have been swept away like this. They failed to comprehend how it was that the fossils completely refuting evolution they had so carefully kept hidden away could suddenly have emerged into the light of day at a time when evolution was so closely protected under national laws, and how the fact that not even a single protein

can form by chance had come out into the open. They were stunned to see that the Darwinist dictatorship could no longer protect them.

That huge defeat meant the end of the demagoguery and propaganda that were Darwinists' sole prop. Darwinists probably never imagined that the day would come when they would have to abandon their only means of deception. They never expected that their lies and deceptions based on mass conditioning through the press, and through pressure and imposition, would ever be exposed. They probably never dreamed that all their trickery would one day be unexpectedly exposed.

The Darwinists now have no way back. The true nature of their deceptive claims that "The missing link has been found", or "The missing link has been observed" has now been revealed. A great many people have now realized that evolution is a hollow fraud, devoid of any evidence. Darwinists can use whatever propaganda methods they like, and manufacture whatever false evidence they see fit and depict that as the truth, but they will never be able to go back to their days of glory. The Darwinist deception is finally at an end. This period we are living in, when Darwinists are desperately making a last stand to keep their deception alive, will witness a mighty defeat for them. There is another very important point here that must not be forgotten. It is still not too late for Darwinists. They can still turn away from, and make up for, all their errors. The time has come for Darwinists to realize that all power lies with Almighty Allah, and that whatever Allah ordains will definitely come about. This will be of great benefit to them and

allow them to live honorable lives in this world and in the hereafter. It must not be forgotten that no matter how much pride they have in this world, no matter how much they wage an illegitimate struggle against right and truth (surely Allah is beyond that), whatever our Lord Allah ordains is what will happen. Darwinism will absolutely come to an end in this century, and whether or not Darwinists want to see it, the true faith of Allah will rule the world. Allah's promise is the Truth, and the Truth will certainly be made good.


Allah reveals in verses:

Say: 'Truth has come and falsehood has vanished. Falsehood is always bound to vanish.' (Surat al-Isra', 81)

Yes, everything in the heavens and earth belongs to Allah. Yes, Allah's promise is true but most of them do not know it. (Surah Yunus, 55)

So remain steadfast. Allah's promise is true. Ask forgiveness for your wrong action and glorify your Lord with praise in the evening and the early morning. Certainly those who argue about the Signs of Allah without any authority having come to them. (Surah Ghafir, 55-56)

Say: 'The Truth has come. Falsehood cannot originate or regenerate.' (Surah Saba', 49)



*The
Deception of
Evolution*

Darwinism, in other words the theory of evolution, was put forward with the aim of denying the fact of Creation, but is in truth nothing but failed, unscientific nonsense. **This theory, which claims that life emerged by chance from inanimate matter, was invalidated by the scientific evidence of miraculous order in the universe and in living things, as well as by the discovery of more than 300 million fossils revealing that evolution never happened.** In this way, science confirmed the fact that Allah created the universe and the living things in it. The propaganda carried out today in order to keep the theory of evolution alive is based solely on the distortion of the scientific facts, biased interpretation, and lies and falsehoods disguised as science.

Yet this propaganda cannot conceal the truth. The fact that **the theory of evolution is the greatest deception in the history of science** has been expressed more and more in the scientific world over the last 20-30 years. Research carried out after the 1980s in particular has revealed that the claims of Darwinism are totally unfounded, something that has been stated by a large number of scientists. In the United States in particular, many scientists from such different fields as biology, biochemistry and paleontology recognize the invalidity of Darwinism and employ the fact of Creation to account for the origin of life.

We have examined the collapse of the theory of evolution and the proofs of Creation in great scientific detail in many of our works, and are still continuing to do so. Given the enormous importance of this subject, it will be of great benefit to summarize it here.

The Scientific Collapse of Darwinism


As a **pagan doctrine** going back as far as ancient Greece, the theory of evolution was advanced extensively in the nineteenth century. The most important development that made it the top topic of the world of science was Charles Darwin's *The Origin of Species*, published in 1859. In this book, he opposed, in his own eyes, the fact that Allah created different living species on Earth separately, for he erroneously claimed that all living beings had a common ancestor and had diversified over time through small changes. **Darwin's theory was not based on any concrete scientific finding; as he also accepted, it was just an "assumption."** Moreover, as Darwin confessed in the long chapter of his book titled "**Difficulties on Theory,**" **the theory failed in the face of many critical questions.**

Darwin invested all of his hopes in new scientific discoveries, which he expected to solve these difficulties. However, contrary to his expectations, scientific findings expanded the dimensions of these difficulties. The defeat of Darwinism in the face of science can be reviewed under three basic topics:

- 1) The theory cannot explain how life originated on Earth.
- 2) No scientific finding shows that the "evolutionary mechanisms" proposed by the theory have any evolutionary power at all.
- 3) The fossil record proves the exact opposite of what the theory suggests.

In this section, we will examine these three basic points in general outlines:

Charles Darwin



The First Insurmountable Step: The Origin of Life

The theory of evolution posits that all living species evolved from a single living cell that emerged on Earth 3.8 billion years ago, supposed to have happened as a result of coincidences. How a single cell could generate millions of complex living species and, if such an evolution really occurred, why traces of it cannot be observed in the fossil record are some of the questions that the theory cannot answer. However, first and foremost, we need to ask: **How did this "first cell" originate?**

Since the theory of evolution ignorantly denies Creation, it maintains that the "first cell" originated as a product of blind coincidences within the laws of nature, without any plan or arrangement. According to the theory, inanimate matter must have produced a living cell as a result of coincidences. Such a claim, however, is inconsistent with the most unassailable rules of biology.

"Life Comes From Life"

In his book, Darwin never referred to the origin of life. The primitive understanding of science in his time rested on the assumption that living beings had a very simple structure. Since medieval times, spontaneous generation, which asserts that non-living materials came together to form living organisms, had been widely accepted. It was commonly believed that insects came into being from food leftovers, and mice from wheat. Interesting experiments were conducted to prove this theory. Some wheat was placed on a dirty piece of cloth, and it was believed that mice would originate from it after a while.

Similarly, maggots developing in rotting meat was assumed to be evidence of spontaneous generation. However, **it was later understood that worms did not appear on meat spontaneously, but were**

Through his experiments, Louis Pasteur invalidated the idea that “life can emerge from inanimate matter,” which represents the foundation of the theory of evolution.

carried there by flies in the form of larvae, invisible to the naked eye.

Even when Darwin wrote *The Origin of Species*, the belief that bacteria could come into existence from non-living matter was widely accepted in the world of science.

However, five years after the publication of Darwin's book, Louis Pasteur announced his results after long studies and experiments, that disproved spontaneous generation, a cornerstone of Darwin's theory. In his triumphal lecture at the Sorbonne in 1864, Pasteur said: "Never will the doctrine of spontaneous generation recover from the mortal blow struck by this simple experiment."⁵

For a long time, advocates of the theory of evolution resisted these findings. However, as the development of science unraveled the complex structure of the cell of a living being, the idea that life could come into being coincidentally faced an even greater impasse.

Inconclusive Efforts of the Twentieth Century

The first evolutionist who took up the subject of the origin of life in the twentieth century was the renowned Russian biologist Alexander Oparin. With various theses he advanced in the 1930s, he tried to prove that a living cell could originate by coincidence. These studies, however, were doomed to failure, and Oparin had to make the following confession:

Unfortunately, however, the problem of the origin of the cell is perhaps the most obscure point in the whole study of the evolution of organisms.⁶



Evolutionist followers of Oparin tried to carry out experiments to solve this problem. The best known experiment was carried out by the American chemist Stanley Miller in 1953. Combining the gases he alleged to have existed in the primordial Earth's atmosphere in an experiment set-up, and adding energy to the mixture, Miller synthesized several organic molecules (amino acids) present in the structure of proteins.

Barely a few years had passed before it was revealed that **this experiment, which was then presented as an important step in the name of evolution, was invalid, for the atmosphere used in the experiment was very different from the real Earth conditions.**⁷

After a long silence, **Miller confessed that the atmosphere medium he used was unrealistic.**⁸


All the evolutionists' efforts throughout the twentieth century to explain the origin of life ended in failure. The geochemist Jeffrey Bada, from the San Diego Scripps Institute accepts this fact in an article published in *Earth* magazine in 1998:

Today as we leave the twentieth century, we still face the biggest unsolved problem that we had when we entered the twentieth century: How did life originate on Earth?⁹

The Complex Structure of Life

The primary reason why evolutionists ended up in such a great impasse regarding the origin of life is that even those living organisms Darwinists deemed to be the simplest have outstandingly complex features. The cell of a living thing is more complex than all of our man-made technological products. **Today, even in the most developed laboratories of the world, no single protein of the cell, let alone a living cell itself, can be produced by bringing organic chemicals together.**

The conditions required for the formation of a cell are too great in



One of the facts nullifying the theory of evolution is the incredibly complex structure of life. The DNA molecule located in the nucleus of cells of living beings is an example of this. The DNA is a sort of databank formed of the arrangement of four different molecules in different sequences. This databank contains the codes of all the physical traits of that living being. When the human DNA is put into writing, it is calculated that this would result in an encyclopedia made up of 900 volumes. Unquestionably, such extraordinary information definitively refutes the concept of coincidence.

quantity to be explained away by coincidences. However, there is no need to explain the situation with these details. Evolutionists are at a dead-end even before reaching the stage of the cell. That is because the probability of just a single protein, an essential building block of the cell, coming into being by chance is mathematically "0."

The main reason for this is the need for other proteins to be present if one protein is to form, and this completely eradicates the possibility of chance formation. This fact by itself is sufficient to eliminate the evolutionist claim of chance right from the outset. To summarize,

1. Protein cannot be synthesized without enzymes, and enzymes are all proteins.
2. Around 100 proteins need to be present in order for a single protein to be synthesized. There therefore need to be proteins for proteins to exist.

3. DNA manufactures the protein-synthesizing enzymes. Protein cannot be synthesized without DNA. DNA is therefore also needed in order for proteins to form.

4. All the organelles in the cell have important tasks in protein synthesis. In other words, in order for proteins to form a perfect and fully functioning cell needs to exist together with all its organelles.

The DNA molecule, which is located in the nucleus of a cell and which stores genetic information, is a magnificent databank. If the information coded in DNA were written down, it would make a giant library consisting of an estimated 900 volumes of encyclopedias consisting of 500 pages each.

A very interesting dilemma emerges at this point: DNA can replicate itself only with the help of some specialized proteins (enzymes). However, the synthesis of these enzymes can be realized only by the information coded in DNA. As they both depend on each other, they have to exist at the same time for replication. This brings the scenario that life originated by itself to a deadlock. Prof. Leslie Orgel, an evolutionist of repute from the University of San Diego, California, confesses this fact in the September 1994 issue of the *Scientific American* magazine:

It is extremely improbable that proteins and nucleic acids, both of which are structurally complex, arose spontaneously in the same place at the same time. Yet it also seems impossible to have one without the other. And so, at first glance, one might have to conclude that life could never, in fact, have originated by chemical means.¹⁰


No doubt, if it is impossible for life to have originated spontaneously as a result of blind coincidences, then it has to be accepted that life was "created." This fact explicitly invalidates the theory of evolution, whose main purpose is to deny Creation.

Imaginary Mechanism of Evolution

The second important point that negates Darwin's theory is that both concepts put forward by the theory as "evolutionary mechanisms" were understood to have, in reality, no evolutionary power.

Darwin based his evolution allegation entirely on the mechanism of "natural selection." The importance he placed on this mechanism was evident in the name of his book: *The Origin of Species, By Means of Natural Selection...*

Natural selection holds that those living things that are stronger and more suited to the natural conditions of their habitats will survive in the struggle for life. For example, in a deer herd under the threat of attack by wild animals, those that can run faster will survive. Therefore, the deer herd will be comprised of faster and stronger individu-



The mechanism of natural selection does not support the theory of evolution, because it can never enrich a species' genetic information. It can never transform one species into another.

als. However, unquestionably, this mechanism will not cause deer to evolve and transform themselves into another living species, for instance, horses.

Therefore, **the mechanism of natural selection has no evolutionary power. Darwin was also aware of this fact** and had to state this in his book *The Origin of Species*:

Natural selection can do nothing until favorable individual differences or variations occur.¹¹

Lamarck's Impact

So, how could these "favorable variations" occur? Darwin tried to answer this question from the standpoint of the primitive understanding of science at that time. According to the French biologist Chevalier de Lamarck (1744-1829), who lived before Darwin, living creatures passed on the traits they acquired during their lifetime to the next generation. He asserted that these traits, which accumulated from one generation to another, caused new species to be formed. For instance, he claimed that giraffes evolved from antelopes; as they struggled to eat the leaves of high trees, their necks were extended from generation to generation.

Darwin also gave similar examples. In his book *The Origin of Species*, for instance, he said that some bears going into water to find food transformed themselves into whales over time.¹²

However, the laws of inheritance discovered by Gregor Mendel (1822-84) and verified by the science of genetics, which flourished in the twentieth century, utterly demolished the legend that acquired traits were passed on to subsequent generations. Thus, natural selection fell out of favor as an evolutionary mechanism.

Neo-Darwinism and Mutations

In order to find a solution, Darwinists advanced the "Modern Synthetic Theory," or as it is more commonly known, Neo-Darwinism, at the end of the 1930s. Neo-Darwinism added mutations, which are distortions formed in the genes of living beings due to such external factors as radiation or replication errors, as the "cause of favorable variations" in addition to natural mutation.

Today, the model that Darwinists espouse, despite their own awareness of its scientific invalidity, is neo-Darwinism. The theory maintains that millions of living beings formed as a result of a process whereby numerous complex organs of these organisms (e.g., ears, eyes, lungs, and wings) underwent "mutations," that is, genetic disorders. Yet, there is an outright scientific fact that totally undermines this theory: **Mutations do not cause living beings to develop; on the contrary, they are always harmful.**

The reason for this is very simple: **DNA has a very complex structure, and random effects can only harm it.** The American geneticist B. G. Ranganathan explains this as follows:

Since the beginning of the twentieth century, evolutionary biologists have sought examples of beneficial mutations by creating mutant flies. But these efforts have always resulted in sick and deformed creatures. To the side can be seen a fly subjected to mutation.



First, genuine mutations are very rare in nature. Secondly, most mutations are harmful since they are random, rather than orderly changes in the structure of genes; any random change in a highly ordered system will be for the worse, not for the better. For example, **if an earthquake were to shake a highly ordered structure such as a building, there would be a random change in the framework of the building which, in all probability, would not be an improvement.**¹³

Not surprisingly, no mutation example, which is useful, that is, which is observed to develop the genetic code, has been observed so far. All mutations have proved to be harmful. It was understood that mutation, which is presented as an "evolutionary mechanism," is actually a genetic occurrence that harms living things, and leaves them disabled. (The most common effect of mutation on human beings is cancer.) Of course, a destructive mechanism cannot be an "evolutionary mechanism." Natural selection, on the other hand, "can do nothing by itself," as Darwin also accepted. This fact shows us that **there is no "evolutionary mechanism" in nature.** Since no evolutionary mechanism exists, no such imaginary process called "evolution" could have taken place.

The Fossil Record: No Sign of Intermediate Forms

The clearest evidence that the scenario suggested by the theory of evolution did not take place is the fossil record.

According to the unscientific supposition of this theory, every living species has sprung from a predecessor. A previously existing species turned into something else over time and all species have come into being in this way. In other words, this transformation proceeds gradually over millions of years.

Had this been the case, numerous intermediary species should have existed and lived within this long transformation period.

LIVING FOSSILS REFUTE EVOLUTION

Fossils are evidence that evolution never happened. As revealed by the fossil record, living organisms were created complete with all their characteristics, and have never changed since they first appeared and for as long as they existed. Fish have always been fish, insects have always been insects and reptiles have always been reptiles. There are no scientific grounds for the claim that species gradually develop. All beings are created by Allah.



Sea Urchin

Period: Carboniferous
Age: 295 million years




Sun Fish

Period: Eocene
Age: 54-37 million years



Crane Fly

Period: Eocene
Age: 48-37 million years



Sea Star

Period: Ordovician

Age: 490-443 million years



Frogopper

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Birch Tree Leaf

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years



Sequoia Leaf

Period: Eocene

Age: 48-37 million years



For instance, some half-fish/half-reptiles should have lived in the past which had acquired some reptilian traits in addition to the fish traits they already had. Or there should have existed some reptile-birds, which acquired some bird traits in addition to the reptilian traits they already had. Since these would be in a transitional phase, they should be disabled, defective, crippled living beings. Evolutionists refer to these imaginary creatures, which they believe to have lived in the past, as "transitional forms."

If such animals ever really existed, there should be millions and even billions of them in number and variety. More importantly, the remains of these strange creatures should be present in the fossil record. In *The Origin of Species*, Darwin explained: If my theory be true, numberless intermediate varieties, linking most closely all of the species of the same group together must assuredly have existed... Consequently, evidence of their former existence could be found only amongst fossil remains.¹⁴

However, **Darwin was well aware that no fossils of these intermediate forms had yet been found.** He regarded this as a major difficulty for his theory. In one chapter of his book titled "Difficulties on Theory," he wrote:

Why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?.. But, as by this theory innumerable transitional forms must have existed, why do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the earth?.. Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links?¹⁵

Darwin's Hopes Shattered

However, although evolutionists have been making strenuous efforts to find fossils since the middle of the nineteenth century all over the world, **no transitional forms have yet been uncovered.** All of the fossils, contrary to the evolutionists' expectations, show that **life appeared on Earth all of a sudden and fully-formed.**


One famous British paleontologist, Derek V. Ager, admits this fact, even though he is an evolutionist:

The point emerges that if we examine the fossil record in detail, whether at the level of orders or of species, **we find – over and over again – not gradual evolution, but the sudden explosion of one group at the expense of another.**¹⁶

This means that in **the fossil record, all living species suddenly emerge as fully formed, without any intermediate forms in between.** This is just the opposite of Darwin's assumptions. Also, this is very strong evidence that **all living things are created.** The only explanation of a living species emerging suddenly and complete in every detail without any evolutionary ancestor is that it was created. This fact is admitted also by the widely known evolutionist biologist Douglas Futuyma:

*Creation and evolution, between them, exhaust the possible explanations for the origin of living things. Organisms either appeared on the earth fully developed or they did not. If they did not, they must have developed from pre-existing species by some process of modification. If they did appear in a fully developed state, they must indeed have been created by some omnipotent intelligence.*¹⁷

Fossils show that living beings emerged fully developed and in a perfect state on the Earth. That means that "the origin of species," contrary to Darwin's supposition, is not evolution, but **Creation.**



Evolutionist newspapers and magazines often print pictures of imaginary “primitive” man. The only available source for these pictures is the imagination of the artist. Evolutionary theory has been so dented by scientific data that today we see less and less of it in the serious press.

The Tale of Human Evolution

The subject most often brought up by advocates of the theory of evolution is the subject of the origin of man. The Darwinist claim holds that man evolved from so-called ape-like creatures. During this alleged evolutionary process, which is supposed to have started 4-5 million years ago, some "transitional forms" between man and his imaginary ancestors are supposed to have existed. According to this completely imaginary scenario, four basic "categories" are listed:

1. Australopithecus
2. Homo habilis
3. Homo erectus
4. Homo sapiens

Evolutionists call man's so-called first ape-like ancestors Australopithecus, which means "South African ape." These living beings

are actually nothing but an old ape species that has become extinct. Extensive research done on various *Australopithecus* specimens by two world famous anatomists from England and the USA, namely, Lord Solly Zuckerman and Prof. Charles Oxnard, shows that these apes belonged to an ordinary ape species that became extinct and bore no resemblance to humans.¹⁸

Evolutionists classify the next stage of human evolution as "homo," that is "man." According to their claim, the living beings in the Homo series are more developed than *Australopithecus*. Evolutionists devise a fanciful evolution scheme by arranging different fossils of these creatures in a particular order. This scheme is imaginary because it has never been proved that there is an evolutionary relation between these different classes. Ernst Mayr, one of the twentieth century's most important evolutionists, contends in his book *One Long Argument* that "particularly historical [puzzles] such as the origin of life or of *Homo sapiens*, are extremely difficult and may even resist a final, satisfying explanation."¹⁹

By outlining the link chain as *Australopithecus* > *Homo habilis* > *Homo erectus* > *Homo sapiens*, evolutionists imply that each of these species is one another's ancestor. However, recent findings of paleoanthropologists have revealed that *Australopithecus*, *Homo habilis*, and *Homo erectus* lived at different parts of the world at the same time.²⁰

Moreover, a certain segment of humans classified as *Homo erectus* have lived up until very modern times. ***Homo sapiens neandarthalensis* and *Homo sapiens sapiens* (man) co-existed in the same region.**²¹

This situation apparently indicates the invalidity of the claim that they are ancestors of one another. The late Stephen Jay Gould explained this deadlock of the theory of evolution although he was himself one of the leading advocates of evolution in the twentieth century:

What has become of our ladder if there are three coexisting lineages

of hominids (*A. africanus*, the robust australopithecines, and *H. habilis*), none clearly derived from another? Moreover, none of the three display any evolutionary trends during their tenure on earth.²²

Put briefly, the scenario of human evolution, which is "upheld" with the help of various drawings of some "half ape, half human" creatures appearing in the media and course books, that is, frankly, by means of propaganda, is nothing but **a tale with no scientific foundation.**

Lord Solly Zuckerman, one of the most famous and respected scientists in the U.K., who carried out research on this subject for years and studied *Australopithecus* fossils for 15 years, finally concluded, despite being an evolutionist himself, **that there is, in fact, no such family tree branching out from ape-like creatures to man.**

Zuckerman also made an interesting "spectrum of science" ranging from those he considered scientific to those he considered unscientific. According to Zuckerman's spectrum, the most "scientific"—that is, depending on concrete data—fields of science are chemistry and physics. After them come the biological sciences and then the social sciences. At the far end of the spectrum, which is the part considered to be most "unscientific," are "extra-sensory perception"—concepts such as telepathy and sixth sense—and finally "human evolution." Zuckerman explains his reasoning:

We then move right off the register of objective truth into those fields of presumed biological science, like extrasensory perception or the interpretation of man's fossil history, where to the faithful [evolutionist] anything is possible – and where the ardent believer [in evolution] is sometimes able to believe several contradictory things at the same time.²³

The tale of human evolution boils down to nothing but the prejudiced interpretations of some fossils unearthed by certain people, who blindly adhere to their theory.

Darwinian Formula!

Besides all the technical evidence we have dealt with so far, let us now for once, examine what kind of a superstition the evolutionists have with an example so simple as to be understood even by children:

The theory of evolution asserts that life is formed by chance. According to this irrational claim, lifeless and unconscious atoms came together to form the cell and then they somehow formed other living things, including man. Let us think about that. When we bring together the elements that are the building-blocks of life such as carbon, phosphorus, nitrogen and potassium, only a heap is formed. No matter what treatments it undergoes, this atomic heap cannot form even a single living being. If you like, let us formulate an "experiment" on this subject and let us examine on

the behalf of evolutionists what they really claim without pronouncing loudly under the name "**Darwinian formula**":

Let evolutionists put plenty of materials present in the composition of living things such as phosphorus, nitrogen, carbon, oxygen, iron, and magnesium into big barrels. . Moreover, let them add in these barrels any material that does not exist under normal conditions, but they think as necessary. Let them add in this mixture as many amino acids and as many proteins—a single one of which can by no means form by chance— as they like. Let them expose these mixtures to as much heat and moisture as they like. Let them stir these with whatever technologically developed device they like. Let them put the foremost scientists beside these barrels. Let these experts wait in turn beside these barrels for billions, and even trillions of years. Let them be free to use all kinds of conditions they believe to be necessary for a human's formation. **No matter what they do, they cannot produce from these barrels a human, say a professor that examines his cell structure under the electron microscope.** They cannot produce giraffes, lions, bees, canaries, horses, dolphins, roses, orchids, lilies, carnations, bananas, oranges, apples, dates, tomatoes, melons, watermelons, figs, olives, grapes, peaches, peafowls, pheasants, multi-colored butterflies, or millions of other living beings such as these. Indeed, they could not obtain even a single cell of any one of them.

Briefly, **unconscious atoms cannot form the cell** by coming together. They cannot take a new decision and divide this cell into two, then take other decisions and create the professors who first invent the electron microscope and then examine their own cell structure under that microscope. **Matter is an unconscious, lifeless heap, and it comes to life with Allah's superior creation.**

The theory of evolution, which claims the opposite, is a total fallacy completely contrary to reason. Thinking even a little bit on the claims of evolutionists discloses this reality, just as in the above example.

Technology in the Eye and the Ear

Another subject that remains unanswered by evolutionary theory is the excellent quality of perception in the eye and the ear.

Before passing on to the subject of the eye, let us briefly answer the question of how we see. Light rays coming from an object fall oppositely on the eye's retina. Here, these light rays are transmitted into electric signals by cells and reach a tiny spot at the back of the brain, the "center of vision." These electric signals are perceived in this center as an image after a series of processes. With this technical background, let us do some thinking.

The brain is insulated from light. That means that its inside is completely dark, and that no light reaches the place where it is located. Thus, the "center of vision" is never touched by light and may even be the darkest place you have ever known. However, you observe a luminous, bright world in this pitch darkness.

The image formed in the eye is so sharp and distinct that even the technology of the twentieth century has not been able to attain it. For instance, look at the book you are reading, your hands with which you are holding it, and then lift your head and look around you. Have you ever seen such a sharp and distinct image as this one at any other place? Even the most developed television screen produced by the greatest television producer in the world cannot provide such a sharp image for you. This is a three-dimensional, colored, and extremely sharp image. For more than 100 years, thousands of engineers have been trying to achieve this sharpness. Factories, huge premises were established, much research has been done, plans and designs have been made for this purpose. Again, look at a TV screen and the book you hold in your hands. You will see that there is a big difference in sharpness and distinction. Moreover, the TV screen shows you a two-dimensional image, whereas with your eyes, you watch a three-dimensional perspective with depth.

For many years, tens of thousands of engineers have tried to make a three-dimensional TV and achieve the vision quality of the eye. Yes, they have made a three-dimensional television system, but it is not possible to watch it without putting on special 3-D glasses; moreover, it is only an artificial three-dimension. The background is more blurred, the foreground appears like a paper setting. Never has it been possible to produce a sharp and distinct vision like that of the eye. In both the camera and the television, there is a loss of image quality.

Evolutionists claim that the mechanism producing this sharp and distinct image has been formed by chance. Now, if somebody told you that the television in your room was formed as a result of chance, that all of its atoms just happened to come together and make up this device that produces an image, what would you think? How can atoms do what thousands of people cannot?

If a device producing a more primitive image than **the eye could not have been formed by chance**, then it is very evident that the eye and the image seen by the eye could not have been formed by chance. The same situation applies to the ear. The outer ear picks up the available sounds by the auricle and directs them to the middle ear, the middle ear transmits the sound vibrations by intensifying them, and the inner ear sends these vibrations to the brain by translating them into electric signals. Just as with the eye, the act of hearing finalizes in the center of hearing in the brain.

The situation in the eye is also true for the ear. That is, **the brain is insulated from sound** just as it is from light. It does not let any sound in. Therefore, no matter how noisy is the outside, the inside of the brain is completely silent. Nevertheless, the sharpest sounds are perceived in the brain. **In your completely silent brain, you listen to symphonies, and hear all of the noises in a crowded place. However, were the sound level in your brain measured by a precise device at that moment, complete silence would be found to be prevailing there.**


As is the case with imagery, decades of effort have been spent in trying to generate and reproduce sound that is faithful to the original. The results of these efforts are sound recorders, high-fidelity systems, and systems for sensing sound. Despite all of this technology and the thousands of engineers and experts who have been working on this endeavor, no sound has yet been obtained that has the same sharpness and clarity as the sound perceived by the ear. Think of the highest-quality hi-fi systems produced by the largest company in the music industry. Even in these devices, when sound is recorded some of it is lost; or when you turn on a hi-fi you always hear a hissing sound before the music starts. However, the sounds that are the products of the human body's technology are extremely sharp and clear. A human ear never perceives a sound accompanied by a hissing sound or with atmospherics as does a hi-fi; rather, it perceives sound exactly as it is, sharp and clear. This is the way it has been since **the creation of man**.

So far, no man-made visual or recording apparatus has been as sensitive and successful in perceiving sensory data as are the eye and the ear. However, as far as seeing and hearing are concerned, a far greater truth lies beyond all this.

To Whom Does the Consciousness that Sees and Hears within the Brain Belong?

Who watches an alluring world in the brain, listens to symphonies and the twittering of birds, and smells the rose?

The stimulations coming from a person's eyes, ears, and nose travel to the brain as electro-chemical nerve impulses. In biology, physiology, and biochemistry books, you can find many details about how this image forms in the brain. However, you will never come across the most important fact: Who perceives these electro-chemical nerve impulses as images, sounds, odors, and sensory events in the



We live our whole life in our brains. People we see, flowers we smell, music we hear, fruit we taste, the moisture we feel with our hands—all these are impressions that become "reality" in the brain. But no colors, voices or pictures exist there. We live in an environment of electrical impulses. This is no theory, but the scientific explanation of how we perceive the outside world.

brain? **There is a consciousness in the brain that perceives all this without feeling any need for an eye, an ear, and a nose.** To whom does this consciousness belong? Of course it does not belong to the nerves, the fat layer, and neurons comprising the brain. This is why Darwinist-materialists, who believe that everything is comprised of matter, cannot answer these questions.

For this consciousness is the spirit created by Allah, which needs neither the eye to watch the images nor the ear to hear the sounds. Furthermore, it does not need the brain to think.

Everyone who reads this explicit and scientific fact should ponder on Almighty Allah, and fear and seek refuge in Him, for He squeezes the entire universe in a pitch-dark place of a few cubic centimeters in a three-dimensional, colored, shadowy, and luminous form.

A Materialist Faith

The information we have presented so far shows us that **the theory of evolution is incompatible with scientific findings**. The theory's claim regarding the origin of life is inconsistent with science, the evolutionary mechanisms it proposes have no evolutionary power, and fossils demonstrate that **the required intermediate forms have never existed**. So, it certainly follows that the theory of evolution should be pushed aside as an unscientific idea. This is how many ideas, such as the Earth-centered universe model, have been taken out of the agenda of science throughout history.

However, the theory of evolution is kept on the agenda of science. Some people even try to represent criticisms directed against it as an "attack on science." Why?

The reason is that this theory is an indispensable dogmatic belief for some circles. These circles are **blindly devoted** to materialist philosophy and adopt Darwinism because it is the only materialist explanation that can be put forward to explain the workings of nature.

Interestingly enough, they also confess this fact from time to time. A well-known geneticist and an outspoken evolutionist, Richard C. Lewontin from Harvard University, confesses that he is "first and foremost a materialist and then a scientist":

It is not that the methods and institutions of science somehow compel us accept a material explanation of the phenomenal world, but, on the contrary, that we are forced by our a priori adherence to material causes to create an apparatus of investigation and a set of concepts that produce material explanations, no matter how counter-intuitive, no matter how mystifying to the uninitiated. Moreover, that materialism is absolute, so we cannot allow a Divine [intervention]...²⁴

These are explicit statements that **Darwinism is a dogma** kept alive just for the sake of adherence to materialism. This dogma main-

tains that there is no being save matter. Therefore, it argues that inanimate, unconscious matter brought life into being. It insists that millions of different living species (e.g., birds, fish, giraffes, tigers, insects, trees, flowers, whales, and human beings) originated as a result of the interactions between matter such as pouring rain, lightning flashes, and so on, out of inanimate matter. This is a precept contrary both to reason and science. Yet Darwinists continue to ignorantly defend it just so as not to acknowledge, in their own eyes, the evident existence of Allah.

Anyone who does not look at the origin of living beings with a materialist prejudice sees this evident truth: **All living beings are works of a Creator, Who is All-Powerful, All-Wise, and All-Knowing. This Creator is Allah, Who created the whole universe from non-existence, in the most perfect form, and fashioned all living beings.**

The Theory of Evolution: The Most Potent Spell in the World

Anyone free of prejudice and the influence of any particular ideology, who uses only his or her reason and logic, will clearly understand that belief in the theory of evolution, which brings to mind the superstitions of societies with no knowledge of science or civilization, is quite impossible.

As explained above, those who believe in the theory of evolution think that a few atoms and molecules thrown into a huge vat could produce thinking, reasoning professors and university students; such scientists as Einstein and Galileo; such artists as Humphrey Bogart, Frank Sinatra and Luciano Pavarotti; as well as antelopes, lemon trees, and carnations. Moreover, as the scientists and professors who believe in this nonsense are educated people, it is quite justifiable to speak of this theory as "the most potent spell in history." Never before has any

other belief or idea so taken away peoples' powers of reason, refused to allow them to think intelligently and logically, and hidden the truth from them as if they had been blindfolded. This is an even worse and unbelievable blindness than the totem worship in some parts of Africa, the people of Saba worshipping the Sun, the tribe of the Prophet Abraham (pbuh) worshipping idols they had made with their own hands, or some among the people of the Prophet Moses (pbuh) worshipping the Golden Calf.

In fact, Allah has pointed to this lack of reason in the Qur'an. In many verses, He reveals that some peoples' minds will be closed and that they will be powerless to see the truth. Some of these verses are as follows:

As for those who do not believe, it makes no difference to them whether you warn them or do not warn them, they will not believe. Allah has sealed up their hearts and hearing and over their eyes is a blindfold. They will have a terrible punishment. (Surat al-Baqara, 6-7)

... They have hearts with which they do not understand. They have eyes with which they do not see. They have ears with which they do not hear. Such people are like cattle. No, they are even further astray! They are the unaware. (Surat al-A'raf, 179)

Even if We opened up to them a door into heaven, and they spent the day ascending through it, they would only say: "Our eyesight is befuddled! Or rather we have been put under a spell!" (Surat al-Hijr, 14-15)

Words cannot express just how astonishing it is that this spell should hold such a wide community in thrall, keep people from the truth, and not be broken for 150 years. It is understandable that one or a few people might believe in impossible scenarios and claims full of stupidity and illogicality. However, "magic" is the only possible ex-

planation for people from all over the world believing that unconscious and lifeless atoms suddenly decided to come together and form a universe that functions with a flawless system of organization, discipline, reason, and consciousness; a planet named Earth with all of its features so perfectly suited to life; and living things full of countless complex systems.

In fact, in the Qur'an Allah relates the incident of the Prophet Moses (pbuh) and Pharaoh to show that some people who support atheistic philosophies actually influence others by magic. When Pharaoh was told about the true religion, he told the Prophet Moses (pbuh) to meet with his own magicians. When the Prophet Moses (pbuh) did so, he told them to demonstrate their abilities first. The verses continue:

He said: "You throw." And when they threw, they cast a spell on the people's eyes and caused them to feel great fear of them. They produced an extremely powerful magic. (Surat al-A'raf, 116)

As we have seen, Pharaoh's magicians were able to deceive everyone, apart from the Prophet Moses (pbuh) and those who believed in him. However, his evidence broke the spell, or "swallowed up what they had forged," as revealed in the verse:

We revealed to Moses: "Throw down your staff." And it immediately swallowed up what they had forged. So the Truth took place and what they did was shown to be false. (Surat al-A'raf, 117-118)

As we can see, when people realized that a spell had been cast upon them and that what they saw was just an illusion, Pharaoh's magicians lost all credibility. In the present day too, unless those who, under the influence of a similar spell, believe in these ridiculous claims under their scientific disguise and spend their lives defending them, abandon their superstitious beliefs, they also will be humiliated when the full truth emerges and the spell is broken. In fact, world-renowned British writer and philosopher Malcolm Muggeridge, who was an

atheist defending evolution for some 60 years, but who subsequently realized the truth, reveals the position in which the theory of evolution would find itself in the near future in these terms:

I myself am convinced that **the theory of evolution**, especially the extent to which it's been applied, **will be one of the great jokes in the history books in the future**. Posterity will marvel that so very flimsy and dubious an hypothesis could be accepted with the incredible credulity that it has.²⁵

That future is not far off: On the contrary, people will soon see that "chance" is not a deity, and will look back on **the theory of evolution as the worst deceit and the most terrible spell in the world**. That spell is already rapidly beginning to be lifted from the shoulders of people all over the world. Many people who see its true face are wondering with amazement how they could ever have been taken in by it.

**They said, "Glory be to You!
We have no knowledge except what You have
taught us. You are the All-Knowing,
the All-Wise." (Surat al-Baqara: 32)**

NOTES

1. Letters, Seeds of Reality, p. 553
2. John Daniel, Two Faces of Freemasonry, A Picture Book Supplement to Volume One – Third Edition of Scarlet and the Beast, Day Publishing, 2007, p. 121
3. James Owen, Evolution Less Accepted in U.S. Than Other Western Countries, Study Finds, 10 August 2006 - <http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2006/08/060810-evolution.html>
4. Ben Stein, Expelled "No Intelligence Allowed", 2008
5. Sidney Fox, Klaus Dose, Molecular Evolution and The Origin of Life, New York: Marcel Dekker, 1977, p. 2.
6. Alexander I. Oparin, Origin of Life, (1936) New York, Dover Publications, 1953 (Reprint), p. 196.
7. "New Evidence on Evolution of Early Atmosphere and Life", Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, vol. 63, November 1982, pp. 1328-1330.
8. Stanley Miller, Molecular Evolution of Life: Current Status of the Prebiotic Synthesis of Small Molecules, 1986, p. 7.
9. Jeffrey Bada, Earth, February 1998, p. 40.
10. Leslie E. Orgel, The Origin of Life on Earth, Scientific American, vol. 271, October 1994, p. 78.
11. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species: A Facsimile of the First Edition, Harvard University Press, 1964, p. 189.
12. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species: A Facsimile of the First Edition, Harvard University Press, 1964, p. 184.
13. B. G. Ranganathan, Origins?, Pennsylvania: The Banner Of Truth Trust, 1988.
14. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species: A Facsimile of the First Edition, Harvard University Press, 1964, p. 179.
15. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, pp. 172, 280.
16. Derek A. Ager, "The Nature of the Fossil Record", Proceedings of the British Geological Association, vol. 87, 1976, p. 133.
17. Douglas J. Futuyma, Science on Trial, New York: Pantheon Books, 1983. p. 197.
18. Solly Zuckerman, Beyond The Ivory Tower, New York: Toplinger Publications, 1970, pp. 75-94; Charles E. Oxnard, "The Place of Australopithecines in Human Evolution: Grounds for Doubt", Nature, vol. 258, p. 389.
19. J. Rennie, "Darwin's Current Bulldog: Ernst Mayr", Scientific American, December 1992
20. Alan Walker, Science, vol. 207, 1980, p. 1103; A. J. Kelso, Physical Anthropology, 1st edition, New York: J. B. Lipincott Co., 1970, p. 221; M. D. Leakey, Olduvai Gorge, vol. 3, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1971, p. 272.
21. Time, November 1996.
22. S. J. Gould, Natural History, vol. 85, 1976, p. 30.
23. Solly Zuckerman, Beyond The Ivory Tower, New York: Toplinger Publications, 1970, p. 19.
24. Richard Lewontin, "The Demon-Haunted World", The New York Review of Books, 9 January, 1997, p. 28.
25. Malcolm Muggeridge, The End of Christendom, Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1980, p. 43.